

Fungal Genetics and Biology 37 (2002) 1-12



www.academicpress.com

# Inheritance and mapping of 11 avirulence genes in *Phytophthora sojae*

K.J. May,<sup>a,e</sup> S.C. Whisson,<sup>b</sup> R.S. Zwart,<sup>c</sup> I.R. Searle,<sup>d</sup> J.A.G. Irwin,<sup>a</sup> D.J. Maclean,<sup>d</sup> B.J. Carroll,<sup>d</sup> and A. Drenth<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Cooperative Research Centre for Tropical Plant Protection, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Qld 4072, Australia

<sup>b</sup> Scottish Crop Research Institute, Invergowrie, Dundee, DD2 5DA, Scotland, UK <sup>c</sup> Leslie Research Centre, P.O. Box 2282, Toowoomba, Qld. 4350, Australia

<sup>d</sup> Department of Biochemistry, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Qld 4072, Australia

<sup>e</sup> Department of Plant Pathology, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, USA

Received 12 December 2000; accepted 11 April 2002

#### Abstract

Two new crosses involving four races (races 7, 16, 17, and 25) of the soybean root and stem rot pathogen *Phytophthora sojae* were established (7/16 cross; 17/25 cross). An F<sub>2</sub> population derived from each cross was used to determine the genetic basis of avirulence towards 11 different resistance genes in soybean. Avirulence was found to be dominant and determined by a single locus for Avr1b, 1d, 1k, 3b, 4, and 6, as expected for a simple gene-for-gene model. We also observed several cases of segregation, inconsistent with a single dominant gene being solely responsible for avirulence, which suggests that the genetic background of the different crosses can affect avirulence. *Avr*4 and 6 cosegregated in both the 7/16 and 17/25 crosses and, in the 7/16 cross, Avr1b and 1k were closely linked. Information from segregating RAPD, RFLP, and AFLP markers screened on F<sub>2</sub> progeny from the two new crosses and two crosses described previously (a total of 212 F<sub>2</sub> individuals, 53 from each cross) were used to construct an integrated genetic linkage map of *P. sojae*. This revised genetic linkage map consists of 386 markers comprising 35 RFLP, 236 RAPD, and 105 AFLP markers, as well as 10 avirulence genes. The map is composed of 21 major linkage groups and seven minor linkage groups covering a total map distance of 1640.4 cM. © 2002 Elsevier Science (USA). All rights reserved.

Keywords: Gene-for-gene; Oomycetes; Genetics; Virulence; Genetic linkage mapping; Phytophthora megasperma f. sp. glycinea; AFLP; RFLP; RAPD

#### 1. Introduction

*Phytophthora sojae* Kaufmann and Gerdemann (syn. *Phytophthora megasperma* f. sp. *glycinea*) is the causal agent of Phytophthora root and stem rot of soybean (*Glycine max*). It is a soil-borne, homothallic (self-fertile) oomycete, with a diploid somatic stage, and is host specific to soybean. The pathogen is largely controlled by deployment of resistant soybean cultivars (Schmitthenner et al., 1994). However, resistance in soybean is often rapidly overcome by the occurrence of new races of the pathogen (Schmitthenner, 1985). Diversity studies and genetic analysis of different *P. sojae* races in North

America by Förster et al. (1994) suggested that new races arise by mutation and possibly infrequent outcrossing in the field. A detailed study by Drenth et al. (1996) revealed that the *P. sojae* population in Australia was genetically uniform and suggested that new races arose by mutation from a common genetic background.

A genetic basis for the interaction between resistance in the host plant and virulence in the pathogen was first hypothesised by Flor (1942) and Oort (1944). They hypothesised a gene-for-gene interaction in which for every gene conditioning avirulence in the pathogen, a corresponding gene conferring resistance exists in the host. The gene-for-gene theory has often been used to explain the interaction between hosts and their pathogens (Barrett, 1985; Day, 1974; Thompson and Burdon, 1992). Only in a few systems, however, have both the pathogen and the host been characterised genetically

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Fax: +61-07-3896-9533.

E-mail address: a.drenth@tpp.uq.edu.au (A. Drenth).

(Hutcheson, 1998; Laugè and de Wit, 1998). The first such example involving an oomycete was the lettuce/ Bremia lactucae host pathogen system. A detailed study of the genetics of avirulence for the heterothallic B. lactucae (Ilott et al., 1989) showed that 13 single dominant avirulence genes in the pathogen match 13 dominant resistance genes (Dm) in lettuce (Farrara et al., 1987; Ilott et al., 1989; Michelmore et al., 1984). Linkage maps have been made for both B. lactucae (Hulbert et al., 1988) and lettuce (Kesseli et al., 1994). Similar studies have also been carried out for the P. infestans/potato interaction (Al-Kherb et al., 1995; El-Kharbotly et al., 1994, 1996; Van der Lee et al., 2001). The genetics of resistance to P. sojae in soybean has also attracted considerable attention (Ward, 1990) and 13 dominant resistance (Rps) genes at seven different loci have been identified (Diers et al., 1992). For the Rps1 locus, five alleles (Rps1a, 1b, 1c, 1d, and 1k) are known to confer resistance to various races of *P. sojae* and, for the *Rps3* locus, three resistance alleles (Rps3a, 3b, and 3c) have been identified. Single resistance alleles are only known for the remaining loci, Rps2, 4, 5, 6, and 7.

Genetic studies on homothallic oomycetes such as P. sojae only became possible when molecular markers enabled the detection of low levels of outcrossing among divergent genotypes (Tyler et al., 1995; Whisson et al., 1994, 1995). The detection of  $F_1$  hybrids has allowed the generation of F<sub>2</sub> mapping populations and enabled detailed studies of the genetic basis of avirulence. On the basis of two crosses (race 7 and race 1; race 7 and race 25), Whisson et al. (1995) reported that the avirulence genes Avr1a, 1b, 1k, 3a, 4, 5, and 6 acted as dominant alleles at single loci. Using independent crosses, Tyler et al. (1995) and Gijzen et al. (1996) confirmed that Avr1a, 1b, 3a, 4, and 6 were dominant alleles at single locus. The first genetic linkage map of P. sojae was constructed using 53 progeny from each of the two crosses (cross 7/1 and cross 7/25), described by Whisson et al. (1995), and consisted of seven avirulence genes, 228 random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers, and 22 RFLP markers. In the 7/25 cross, Avr1b and 1k cosegregated and were assigned to linkage group 1, and Avr4 and 6 cosegregated and were assigned to linkage group 2. Avr3a and 5 cosegregated in the 7/25 cross but segregated in 4 out of 53 progeny in the 7/1 cross and were located 4.6 cM apart on linkage group 3. The final map based on 257 markers consisted of 10 major linkage groups containing five or more markers and 12 minor linkage groups. The map covered 830.5 cM of the P. sojae genome.

The purpose of this investigation was to construct two additional crosses that enable genetic analysis of four additional avirulence genes and place them on a refined and expanded genetic linkage map of *P. sojae*. The specific aims were to: (i) confirm the genetics of previously examined avirulence genes (*Avr*1a, 1b, 1k, 3a, 4, 5, and 6); (ii) determine the genetics of the previously uncharacterised avirulence genes: Avr1c, 1d, 3b, and 3c; (iii) place these additional avirulence genes on the linkage map; and (iv) include additional RFLP and AFLP markers to expand the genetic linkage map and assist in the incorporation of previously unlinked markers and avirulence genes. It was expected that this new information on the genetics of avirulence genes in P. sojae would (a) provide a further test of the universality of the gene-for-gene hypothesis, (b) provide further evidence for the potential contribution of outcrossing to the production of new races, and (c) assist in our understanding of the coevolution of soybean with P. sojae. For example, three closely linked pairs of avirulence genes were observed previously (Whisson et al., 1995), and linkage might occur with the four additional avirulence genes included in this study. A comprehensive genetic linkage map for P. sojae assembled from avirulence phenotypes and closely associated molecular markers will provide a vital starting point for cloning and characterising avirulence genes. Cloned and characterised avirulence genes are basic tools for efforts directed towards revealing the nature and functions of factors in plant pathogens that induce or overcome resistance in the host.

### 2. Materials and methods

# 2.1. Phytophthora sojae isolates

Five different *P. sojae* isolates were used: UQ2990, UQ244, UQ1200, UQ1689, and UQ1690 from the culture collection of the Cooperative Research Centre for Tropical Plant Pathology, the University of Queensland, Australia. Table 1 provides a description of the virulence spectrum and origin of the *P. sojae* isolates used in this and previous studies. Inconsistencies exist with regard to the definition of the virulence spectrum for race 16. Ward (1990) described race 16 as virulent against *Rps*1b, 1c, 1k, and 5. Other virulence spectra described for "race 16" include *Rps*1b, 1c, 1k, and 3a (Buzzell and Anderson, 1992) and *Rps*1b, 1c, 1k, 3a, and 3b (Förster et al., 1994). A repeated testing of isolate UQ1689 revealed that it was virulent toward *Rps*1b, 1c, 1k, and 7 and for this investigation it shall be referred to as race 16.

# 2.2. Generation of $F_1$ hybrids and $F_2$ populations

In addition to the two existing crosses (7/1 cross and 7/25 cross; Whisson et al., 1994, 1995), two new crosses were constructed for this investigation. The third cross was between race 7 and race 16 (7/16 cross) and the fourth between race 17 and race 25 (17/25 cross). Table 2 lists the crosses constructed and the avirulence genes that may potentially segregate in each cross. The

| Table 1                   |                    |                     |                       |              |
|---------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| Race, virulence spectrum, | origin, and source | e of isolates of P. | sojae used in this in | ivestigation |

| Parental isolate    | Race | Resis | Resistance genes |    |    |    |    |    |    |   |   | Origin | Source |           |           |
|---------------------|------|-------|------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|---|---|--------|--------|-----------|-----------|
|                     |      | 1a    | 1b               | lc | 1d | 1k | 3a | 3b | 3c | 4 | 5 | 6      | 7      |           |           |
| UQ2990              | 7    | V     | А                | А  | А  | А  | V  | А  | V  | V | V | V      | v      | USA       | J. Paxton |
| UQ244               | 1    | Α     | Α                | Α  | Α  | Α  | А  | А  | А  | Α | Α | Α      | V      | Australia | M. Ryley  |
| UQ1200              | 25   | V     | V                | V  | Α  | V  | А  | А  | А  | Α | Α | Α      | V      | Australia | M. Ryley  |
| UQ1689 <sup>a</sup> | 16   | Α     | V                | V  | Α  | V  | А  | А  | А  | Α | Α | Α      | V      | Canada    | M. Gijzen |
| UQ1690              | 17   | А     | V                | А  | V  | А  | V  | V  | V  | V | V | V      | V      | Canada    | M. Gijzen |

<sup>a</sup> Race designation for this isolate is different to designated race 16 as defined by Ward (1990).

 Table 2

 List of crosses of *P. sojae* and potential segregating avirulence genes

| Cross             | Isolate  |          | Potential segregating avirulence    |  |  |
|-------------------|----------|----------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
|                   | Parent 1 | Parent 2 | genes                               |  |  |
| 7/1 <sup>a</sup>  | UQ2990   | UQ244    | <b>la</b> , <b>3a</b> , 3c, 4, 5, 6 |  |  |
| 7/25 <sup>a</sup> | UQ2990   | UQ1200   | 1b, 1c, 1k, 3a, 3c, 4, 5, 6         |  |  |
| 7/16              | UQ2990   | UQ1689   | la, 1b, 1c, lk, 3a, 3c, 4, 5, 6     |  |  |
| 17/25             | UQ1690   | UQ1200   | la, 1c, 1d, 1k, 3a, 3b, 3c, 4, 5, 6 |  |  |

Segregation data for Avr genes printed in bold were used to construct the genetic linkage map.

<sup>a</sup> Crosses by Whisson et al. (1995).

procedures for oospore isolation, identification of  $F_1$  hybrids, and generation of an  $F_2$  population have been described previously by Whisson et al. (1994).

#### 2.3. DNA extraction and RAPD analysis

DNA was extracted from the parental isolates,  $F_1$  hybrids, and a subset of 53 randomly chosen  $F_2$  individuals from each of the 7/16 and 17/25 crosses as described previously by Whisson et al. (1992). DNA concentration was determined using fluorometry and its integrity was verified by gel electrophoresis. RAPD markers were generated between the five parental races using decanucleotide primers (Operon Technologies, Alameda, CA; primer kits A-I, L-M, O-T, V-X, Z, AC, and AM-AZ). Selected primers, which produced polymorphisms in the 7/1 cross and 7/25 cross, were also used for RAPD analysis of the 7/16 and 17/25 crosses. Primers, which produced polymorphic markers between the

parental isolates, were screened against the  $F_1$  hybrid and the 53  $F_2$  progeny for use in linkage analysis. RAPD protocols and analysis of amplified products were conducted as described by Whisson et al. (1995). Primer sequences of all RAPD primers (markers designated by the prefix OP) can be found at the Operon Technologies website (www.operon.com/store/merkits.php).

# 2.4. RFLP probes and DNA hybridisation

Restriction endonuclease digestion, transfer to nylon membrane, hybridisation, and autoradiography were carried out as described by Whisson et al. (1995). In addition to the RFLP probes used by Whisson et al. (1995), 22 additional probes were used to detect RFLPs in the 7/1 cross and 7/25 cross. Of these RFLP probes, seven were homologous to genes of known or suspected function that had been isolated from various *Phytophthora* species (Table 3). Ten of the RFLP probes originated from randomly selected cloned segments of *P. sojae* DNA inserted in the cosmid vector Supercos 1 (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA).

#### 2.5. AFLP analysis

AFLP markers were prepared and detected as described by Vos et al. (1995) using the Gibco-BRL Life Technologies AFLP Core Reagent and Analysis System II, which is modified for the small genomes of some plants. To accommodate the smaller genome of *P. sojae*, modifications were made to manufacturer's instructions as outlined below.

Table 3

Probes with known function used in this investigation and their source

| Gene                                      | Source organism | References                      | Marker name | Linkage group <sup>a</sup> |
|---|-----------------|---------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|
| Ribosomal non-transcribed spacer          | P. sojae        | Whisson et al., 1993            | KINts+      | 6                          |
| Non-host elicitor                         | P. sojae        | Sacks et al., 1995              | BDIEL.2+    | 21                         |
| HSP70 homolog                             | P. cinnamomi    | Lehnen and Hardham, unpublished | EVBipC      | 6                          |
| Peripheral vesicle protein                | P. cinnamomi    | Marshall et al., 2001           | PILpv 18C   | 8                          |
| Elicitin INF1                             | P. infestans    | Kamoun et al., 1997             | PIFB7C      | 3                          |
| Ubiquitin                                 | P. infestans    | Pieterse et al., 1991           | PIUbi.1C    | 11                         |
|   |                 |                                 | PIUbi.2C    | 11                         |
| Translation elongation factor $EF1\alpha$ | P. infestans    | Pieterse et al., 1993           | KTefC       | 7                          |

<sup>a</sup> Linkage groups as defined in Fig. 1.

Digestion of genomic DNA and ligation of adaptors to DNA fragments. Genomic DNA from the parental isolates, F<sub>1</sub> hybrid, and the 53 F<sub>2</sub> progeny was digested using the restriction endonucleases EcoRI and MseI in the following manner. Each reaction contained 5µ1 of  $5 \times$  reaction buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 50 mM Mg-acetate, and 250 mM K-acetate], 2 µ1 EcoRI/MseI (1.25 U/µl each), and 250 ng genomic DNA and distilled water was added to make a total volume of 25 µl. All reactions were incubated at 37 °C for 8 h. The EcoRI and MseI adaptors were then ligated to the resultant DNA fragments by adding to the digested genomic DNA 24 µl adaptor/ligation solution (GIBCO-BRL Life Technologies AFLP Core Reagent Kit) and 1 µl T4 DNA ligase (1 U/µl). The reactions were incubated at 18°C overnight. A 1:10 dilution was then performed using  $T_{10}E_{0.1}$  buffer [10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 0.1 mM EDTA].

*Pre-amplification reactions.* Each pre-amplification reaction contained 5  $\mu$ l diluted digested/ligated template DNA, 5  $\mu$ l of 10× PCR buffer, and 40  $\mu$ l pre-amp primer mix GIBCO-BRL Life Technologies AFLP Small Genome Primer Kit) and 1  $\mu$ l DNA polymerase (5U/ $\mu$ l). The thermocycling sequence was as follows: one cycle of 94 °C for 15 s, 65 °C for 15 s, and 72 °C for 30 s. The annealing temperature was lowered stepwise by 0.7 °C for each step of the following 12 cycles. This was proceeded by 23 cycles of 94 °C for 15 s, 56 °C for 15 s, and 72 °C for 30 s. Reactions were diluted (1:50) using T<sub>10</sub>E<sub>0.1</sub> buffer and stored at -20 °C.

*Primer labelling.* The *Eco*RI primers were radiolabelled with  $[\gamma^{-33}P]ATP$ . Each *Eco*RI primer had a single selective nucleotide (E + A, E + C, E + T, and E + G). For a single reaction in the selective PCR, the following was needed for the labelled primer: 1 µl *Eco*RI primer (50 ng/µl), 1 µl T4 polynucleotide kinase (PNK) buffer, 1 µl  $[\gamma^{-33}P]$  ATP, 1.8 µl distilled water, and 0.2 µl T4 PNK (10 U/µl). The contents were incubated at 37 °C for 1 h and then at 70 °C for 10 min.

Selective amplification. For the final selective PCR, each reaction contained  $0.5 \,\mu$ l labelled *Eco*RI primer 5'-GACTGCGTACCAATTCN-3',  $4.5 \,\mu$ l *Mse*I primer 5'-GATGAGTCCTGAGTAAN-3' (contains dNTP nucleotides),  $5 \,\mu$ l diluted template DNA,  $2 \,\mu$ l of  $10 \times$  PCR buffer,  $0.1 \,\mu$ l DNA polymerase ( $5 \,U/\mu$ l), and  $7.9 \,\mu$ l distilled water with a total volume of  $20 \,\mu$ l. The PCR amplification profile was the same as that used in the preamplification reactions.

Gel analysis. A 5% polyacrylamide gel (5% acrylamide:bis acrylamide 20:1; 7.5 M urea;  $0.5 \times$  TBE buffer) was used to size fractionate the amplified DNA fragments. A BioRad sequencing gel system apparatus was used for gel analysis and 1× TBE buffer (100 mM Tris; 100 mM boric acid; and 2 mM EDTA) was used in both chambers as the electrophoresis buffer. The gel was run at 120 W for 2 h, then dried onto a sheet of Whatmann paper (No. 4), and placed into a cassette (Amersham, Australia) with X-ray film (Kodak Biomax MR). It was left to be exposed to the film for 1–2 days after which the film was developed. Polymorphic markers were then analysed and used in linkage analysis.

#### 2.6. Virulence testing

The cultivars Harosoy 63 (Rps1a, Rps7) Harosoy 12 (Rps1a), Sanga (Rps1b), Harosoy 13 (Rps1b), Wells II (Rps1c), PI103091 (Rps1d), Kingwa (Rps1k), Harosoy 15 (Rps1k), PI86972-1 (Rps3a), L83-570 (Rps3a) L88-1479 (Rps3b) PRX146-36 (Rps3b) X571-291 (Rps3b) X572-373 (Rps3c), L85-2352 (Rps4), Harosoy 52 (Rps5), L85-3059 (Rps5), Altona (Rps6), and L89-1581 (Rps6) were used as differentials to determine the virulence profiles of the  $F_1$  hybrids and the segregation within the  $F_2$  population from the 7/16 cross and the 17/25 cross, Appropriate susceptible controls were used: Harosoy (1-7) (rps) and Ross (rps) for the 7/16 cross and Harosoy (Rps)7, Harosov(1–7) (rps), and Ross (rps) for the 17/25 cross. For each cross, the parental isolates, F1 hybrid, and 53 randomly chosen F2 individuals were used in the virulence assays. The virulence assay performed was a simple hypocotyl inoculation test (Ryley et al., 1991) and scoring of the reactions was conducted according to Whisson et al. (1995). All virulence tests were repeated on at least two separate occasions.

# 2.7. Linkage analysis

A set of previously mapped markers from the existing linkage map of Whisson et al. (1995) was used as scaffold markers for the 7/16 cross to locate the new avirulence genes and any new molecular markers. Scaffold markers were spaced every 10–20 cM across the existing linkage groups. Bulked segregant analysis (Michelmore et al., 1991) was used for the 17/25 cross to identify markers that were linked to *Avr*1d and 3b. For each gene, two separate pools of DNA from  $F_2$  progeny were made. The first pool contained DNA from five avirulent  $F_2$  progeny and the second from five virulent  $F_2$ progeny.

All RAPD and AFLP markers, including any new or unlinked markers and avirulence factors, were subjected to a  $\chi^2$  test to determine if segregation was significantly different from that expected for normal Mendelian segregation (3:1 or 1:2:1). All markers were then analysed by the computer program MAPMAKER version 3.0 (Whitehead Institute, Cambridge, MA; Lander et al., 1987) for two-point linkage analysis at a default setting of LOD 3.0 and maximum distance of 30 cM. Markers from all four crosses were ordered into integrated linkage groups using the JoinMap 1.7 (Stam, 1993) mapping program (Kosambi mapping function), which was completed at LOD scores of 3.0 (Linklod) and 1.0 (Maplod). A total of 386 markers were used to construct the final genetic linkage map. These included 211 preexisting markers from the 7/1 cross and 7/25 cross, 165 new molecular markers (105 AFLP markers and 41 RAPD and 19 RFLP markers), and 10 avirulence markers. DrawMap 1.0 (van Ooijen, 1993) was used to graphically convert the output from JoinMap 1.7 into figures.

# 3. Results

#### 3.1. Hybrid production and generation of $F_2$ populations

Three hundred single oospore cultures were isolated from the cross between race 7 and race 16 (7/16 cross). A screen of 100 of these cultures with RAPD primer OPD03 identified 36 F<sub>1</sub> hybrids, indicating an outcrossing frequency of 36%. A further eight RAPD primers confirmed the hybrid nature of these putative F<sub>1</sub> progeny. For the cross between race 17 and race 25 (17/ 25 cross), 300 single oospore cultures were screened using the RAPD primer OPQ04. One F<sub>1</sub> hybrid was identified and confirmed with an additional four RAPD primers, indicating an outcrossing frequency of 0.3%. A single F<sub>1</sub> hybrid from each cross was self-fertilised to generate two F<sub>2</sub> populations.

# 3.2. Genetics of virulence

The  $F_1$  hybrid from the 17/25 cross and four  $F_1$  hybrids from the 7/16 cross were tested for virulence on a differential set of soybean cultivars. All four F<sub>1</sub> hybrids from the 7/16 cross exhibited avirulence toward resistance genes Rps1b, 1k, 3c, 4, 5, and 6, and virulence towards resistance genes Rps1a, 1c, and 3a. The F<sub>1</sub> hybrid of the 17/25 cross was avirulent on *Rps*1a, 1d, 1k, 3a, 3b, 3c, 4, 5, and 6, and virulent on Rps1c. The parents, F<sub>1</sub> hybrid, and 53 randomly chosen F<sub>2</sub> individuals were screened against all Rps alleles. Rps1d and 3b for the 7/16 cross and Rps1b for the 17/25 cross were excluded for the  $F_2$  tests, since the parents and the  $F_1$ hybrids were considered homozygous avirulent and homozygous virulent, respectively. The F<sub>2</sub> population segregated in a 3:1 ratio with avirulence dominant for Avr1b, 1k, 4, 5, and 6 in the 7/16 cross, and for Avr1a, 1d, 3a, 3b, 4, 5, and 6 in the 17/25 cross, consistent with avirulence being controlled by a single, dominant allele for these genes (Table 4). For Avr3c in both crosses and Avr1k and Avr3b in the 17/25 cross, the F<sub>2</sub> segregation best fitted a 9:7 ratio with avirulence dominant, suggesting that avirulence was controlled by two dominant, independently segregating genes. However, the observed segregation ratio for Avr3b also fits a 3:1 ratio consistent with a dominant allele at a single locus. A 3:1 segregation ratio, with virulence dominant, was observed for

*avr*1a (7/16 cross), *avr*1c (both crosses), and *avr*3a (7/16 cross), suggesting that the avirulence alleles at these loci were recessive. However, the segregation ratios for *Avr*1c (7/16 cross) and *Avr*3a (7/16 cross) also fitted a 3:13 segregation ratio, consistent with a dominant suppressor exerting epistatic control over a dominant avirulence gene. The parents,  $F_1$  hybrid, and all  $F_2$  individuals from both crosses were equally pathogenic on soybean cultivars containing no *Rps* genes.

#### 3.3. Linkage analysis and map construction

From the original genetic linkage map of Whisson et al. (1995) based on the previously constructed 7/1 and 7/25 crosses, 74 RAPD markers that were also polymorphic in the new 7/16 cross were used to form a scaffold map spanning the major linkage groups. Nineteen new RFLP markers were also mapped using the previous 7/1 cross and the new 7/25 cross. The RFLP probes included genes of known and suspected functions cloned from various *Phytophthora* species (Table 3). However, none of these genes exhibited linkage to any of the P. sojae avirulence genes mapped in the 7/1, 7/16, or 7/25 crosses. Bulked segregant analysis (Michelmore et al., 1991), involving a further 380 RAPD primers and 64 AFLP primer combinations, identified markers in the 17/25 cross linked to Avr1d and 3b. Multi-point linkage analysis, performed using the JoinMap 1.7 mapping program, allowed integration of avirulence genes and molecular markers from all four crosses into a single detailed genetic linkage map (Fig. 1). Segregation data from the new 7/16 cross showed no linkage of Avr1a, 1c, 3a, and 5 to any of the additional molecular markers acquired, and these avirulence loci were mapped using linked markers from the previous 7/1 and 7/25 crosses of Whisson et al. (1995). However, Avr1c failed to show linkage to any DNA marker. Two new avirulence genes analysed using the 17/25 cross (Avrld and 3b) and one using the 7/16 cross (Avr3c) were included in the map. For both the 7/16 and 17/25 crosses, cosegregation was observed between Avr4 and 6. Avr1b and 1k cosegregated in 52 of the 53  $F_2$  individuals in the 7/16 cross, and. Avr3a and 5 cosegregated for 51 of the 53 F<sub>2</sub> individuals in the 17/25 cross. A total of 386 markers (312 dominant and 74 codominant), consisting of 35 RFLP, 236 RAPD, and 105 AFLP markers, and 10 avirulence genes were used to construct the final map (Fig. 1), which covers a distance of 1640.4 cM. Based on the method of Postlethwait et al. (1994) and assuming 5.8 cM for each telomere, 13 chromosomes (Sansome and Brasier, 1974), and 23 gaps in the map at 30 cM per gap, the total genome size was estimated to be 2590 cM. Table 4 lists the map location of each avirulence gene and its distance in cM to the closest linked molecular marker in coupling phase.

The final map (Fig. 1) consisted of 21 major linkage groups containing five or more markers (groups 1-21) and 7 minor linkage groups (groups a-g) (Table 5).

Table 4 Data obtained for segregating avirulence genes from crosses 7/16 and 17/25 of *P. sojae* 

| Rps | Cross | P1 | P2 | $F_1$ | F <sub>2</sub> ratio (A:V) | $\chi^2$ | Probability | Linkage group and position | Closest marker <sup>a</sup> |
|-----|-------|----|----|-------|----------------------------|----------|-------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1a  | 7/16  | V  | А  | V     | 19:34 (1:3)                | 3.33     | 0.07        | 1 (87.1 cM)                | OPAS5.1+ (6.8 cM)           |
|     |       |    |    |       | (7:9)                      | 1.10     | 0.30        | · · · · ·                  | . ,                         |
|     | 17/25 | А  | V  | А     | 37:16 (3:1)                | 0.76     | 0.38        |                            |                             |
| 1b  | 7/16  | А  | V  | Α     | 42:11 (3:1)                | 0.51     | 0.48        | 2 (36.2 cM)                | OPA20.3C (3.1 cM)           |
| 1c  | 7/16  | А  | V  | V     | 8:45 (1:3)                 | 2.77     | 0.10        |                            |                             |
|     |       |    |    |       | (3:13)                     | 0.46     | 0.50        |                            |                             |
|     | 17/25 | А  | V  | V     | 19:34 (1:3)                | 3.33     | 0.07        |                            |                             |
|     |       |    |    |       | (7:9)                      | 1.10     | 0.30        |                            |                             |
| 1d  | 17/25 | v  | А  | А     | 36:17 (3:1)                | 1.42     | 0.23        | b (0.0 cM)                 | OPD8.1+(15.1 cM)            |
| 1k  | 7/16  | А  | V  | Α     | 43:10 (3:1)                | 1.06     | 0.30        | 2 (37.1 cM)                | OPA20.3C (2.2 cM)           |
|     | 17/25 | А  | V  | А     | 30:23 (3:1)                | 9.57     | 0.002       |                            |                             |
|     |       |    |    |       | (9:7)                      | 0.003    | 0.96        |                            |                             |
| 3a  | 7/16  | v  | А  | V     | 8:45 (1:3)                 | 2.77     | 0.10        | 4 (24.6 cM)                | OPC12.4+(14.4 cM)           |
|     |       |    |    |       | (3:13)                     | 0.46     | 0.50        |                            |                             |
|     | 17/25 | v  | А  | Α     | 37:16 (3:1)                | 0.76     | 0.38        |                            |                             |
| 3b  | 17/25 | v  | А  | А     | 34:19 (3:1)                | 3.33     | 0.07        | c (0.0 cM)                 | OPG11.1+21.6 cM)            |
|     |       |    |    |       | (9:7)                      | 1.34     | 0.25        |                            |                             |
| 3c  | 7/16  | v  | А  | А     | 33:20 (3:1)                | 4.59     | 0.03        | $3(0.0 \mathrm{cM})$       | OPM10.3C(1.3 cM)            |
|     |       |    |    |       | (9:7)                      | 0.78     | 0.38        | × /                        |                             |
|     | 17/25 | v  | А  | А     | 33:19 (3:1)                | 3.69     | 0.06        |                            |                             |
|     |       |    |    |       | (9:7)                      | 1.10     | 0.30        |                            |                             |
| 4   | 7/16  | v  | А  | А     | 43:10 (3:1)                | 1.06     | 0.30        | 3(16.2  cM)                | OPE7.1C(4.0 cM)             |
|     | 17/25 | v  | А  | А     | 36:16 (3:1)                | 0.92     | 0.34        |                            |                             |
| 5   | 7/16  | v  | А  | А     | 38:15 (3:1)                | 0.31     | 0.58        | $4(20.0 \mathrm{cM})$      | OPC12.4+ (9.8 cM)           |
|     | 17/25 | v  | А  | А     | 39:14 (3:1)                | 0.06     | 0.81        |                            |                             |
| 6   | 7/16  | V  | А  | А     | 43:10 (3:1)                | 1.06     | 0.30        | 3 (16.2 cM)                | OPE7.1C (4.0 cM)            |
|     | 17/25 | V  | А  | А     | 36:16 (3:1)                | 0.92     | 0.34        | ` '                        | · /                         |

<sup>a</sup> The distance is given in cM to the closest marker in coupling phase.

A number of original linkage groups published by Whisson et al. (1995) were split, as marker by marker analysis of the data revealed that some inconsistent linkages occurred close to the LOD score of 3.0 that was used for map construction. Separation of these linkage groups yielded a more accurate and robust map. New linkage groups, 1, 2, 13, and 20, were formed from linkage group 1, and new groups 5 and 10 from the original linkage group 5, both previously described by Whisson et al. (1995). A series of closely associated markers from the original group 1 were integrated into linkage group 3 through the inclusion of new codominant RFLP markers. RAPD markers used in this investigation from the previous crosses were reliably mapped in the 7/16 cross for the majority of linkage groups. However, some rearrangement of markers within linkage groups 1, 2, and 3 was observed. This was probably due to greater accuracy lent to linkage analysis through the incorporation of data from the new 7/16 cross and additional codominant RFLP markers. Minor linkage groups a, b, and e from Whisson et al. (1995) were removed from analysis here, as these groups contained RAPD markers that could not be reliably amplified in the 7/16 cross. The minor groups a-g described herein are not intended for comparison with the minor groups described by Whisson et al. (1995). Thirty-two

RAPD markers out of 236 and 22 AFLP markers out of 105 from the 7/16 cross that were included in the map did not follow normal Mendelian segregation ratios. Five markers were clustered in linkage group 1 between OPZ18.C (32.7 cM) and OPP19.3C (58.3 cM) and another five markers in linkage group 7 between OPD 16.3C (28.3 cM) and OPQ6.2+ (44.3 cM). In linkage group 15, 12 markers bound by the markers E2MCTT8- (34.9 cM) and E3MCTT3- (119.7 cM) did not follow normal Mendelian segregation. The remaining markers exhibiting aberrant segregation were scattered throughout the other linkage groups.

# 4. Discussion

This report gives a detailed account of the genetic analysis of avirulence in *P. sojae* and an integrated genetic linkage map has been constructed, based on a total of 212  $F_2$  progeny from four different crosses, 53 from each of the two new crosses (7/16 and 17/25 crosses) and 53 from each of the 7/1 and 7/25 crosses described previously (Whisson et al., 1995). In this study, four previously uncharacterised avirulence genes (*Avr*1c, 1d, 3b, and 3c) have been genetically analysed. A total of 11 avirulence genes from *P. sojae* have now been

characterised genetically and 10 of these have been placed on the genetic linkage map that is presented in this report (Fig. 1). We were unable to find any molecular markers linked to Avr1c. Hence, three avirulence genes, Avr1c, 2, and 7, are still to be positioned on the linkage map. The current genetic linkage map consists of 386 markers comprising 376 molecular markers (302 dominant and 74 codominant markers) and 10 avirulence genes. The markers were assigned to 21 major linkage groups (groups 1-21) and 7 minor linkage groups (a-g). The complete linkage map covers a distance of 1640.4 cM, compared to the 830.5 cM coverage of the original map (Whisson et al., 1995). Based on the estimated genome size of 2590 cM, the linkage map presented herein represents 63% of the estimated genome size.

Several genes cloned from various *Phytophthora* species were used as probes in this investigation. Some of these genes, such as ubiquitin (Pieterse et al., 1991) and putative elicitors (Kamoun et al., 1997; Sacks et al., 1995; Table 3), have been shown to exhibit increased/ decreased expression during infection. Other genes placed on the genetic linkage map, such as LPV18 (Marshall et al., 2001) and BIPBH5 (Lehnen and Hardham, unpublished), appear to have a role in the *Phytophthora* life cycle. Homologues for all these genes were found in the *P. sojae* genome but none showed linkage to any of the *P. sojae* avirulence genes.

# 4.1. Dominant avirulence allele at a single locus (Avr1b, 1d, 1k, 3b, 3c, 4, 5, and 6)

Segregation ratios fitting a typical 3:1 Mendelian pattern were observed for avirulence genes Avr1b, 1k, 4, and 6 in the 7/16 cross and Avr1d, 3b, 3c, 4, 5, and 6 in the 17/25 cross, consistent with avirulence being a dominant allele at a single locus (Table 4). The above results for Avr1b, 1d, 4, and 6 agree with those of Gijzen et al. (1996), Tyler et al. (1995), and Whisson et al. (1995). Segregation ratios for Avr1k, 3b, and 3c showed a better fit to a 9:7 ratio in some crosses. Genetic data for Avr1k were difficult to interpret in some crosses. The  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  progeny of the 7/16 cross gave phenotypes and segregation data that typified a dominant avirulence allele at a single locus. The  $F_1$  from the 17/25 cross gave mixed reactions in which some inoculated hypocotyls collapsed, suggesting a susceptible reaction, whereas other hypocotyls gave a strong defence response in which the infection was contained, despite extensive tissue collapse typifying a hypersensitive response. We interpreted this F<sub>1</sub> response and segregation data from the 17/25 cross as avirulent against Rps1k, supported by data from the F<sub>2</sub> population, which segregated closest to a 9:7 ratio. This result could be explained by dominant avirulence, with an overestimate of the frequency of available alleles due to the severity of the hypersensitive response in some F2 genetic backgrounds, but is also consistent with avirulence controlled by two dominant, independently segregating genes at separate loci in the 17/25 genetic background. Tyler et al. (1995) also observed a 9:7 segregation ratio for Avr1k. A 9:7 segregation ratio was observed for Avr3b in the 17/25 cross, in contrast to Tyler et al. (1995) who reported a segregation ratio of 15:1 in another cross, consistent with two independent dominant genes controlling avirulence, although this result could be misleading due to the small (24 progeny)  $F_2$  population size analysed in that study.

# 4.2. Segregation ratios inconsistent with a dominant avirulence allele at a single locus

Our investigations provided two other examples of segregation inconsistent with a single dominant allele determining avirulence. Avr1c gave a 1:3 segregation ratio for both the 7/16 and 17/25 crosses, with virulence being the dominant phenotype. However, Avrla gave opposing reactions in each cross and was dominant with a 3:1 ratio in the 17/25 cross, but was recessive with a segregation ratio fitting 1:3 in the 7/16 cross. It is thus evident that the genetic background of the different P. sojae races used in the genetic crosses can clearly affect segregation ratios. For example, mutations at different loci could have produced different Avr1a phenotypes in the two crosses. Additional genes, such as a suppressor, could modulate a single dominant avirulence gene, e.g., for Avr1k (17/25 cross), Avr3b (17/25 cross), and Avr3c (both crosses). Other researchers have also observed segregation ratios that are consistent with multiple genes controlling avirulence within the F<sub>2</sub> populations of their crosses (Tyler et al., 1995; Whisson et al., 1994, 1995). Alternatively, Avr gene silencing may be an important factor in some genetic backgrounds (van West et al., 1999). Multiple locus models for avirulence were not confirmed by the genetic mapping relative to DNA markers in our study and linkage could only be found for the cross specific Avr loci listed in Table 4. Understanding these aberrant segregation ratios may be resolved by the construction of additional crosses, increasing progeny size of existing populations and analysis with additional molecular markers, and the cloning and molecular analysis of the dominant Avr genes.

#### 4.3. Linkage of avirulence genes

Avr4 and 6 cosegregated in both new crosses and in the previous 7/25 cross of Whisson et al. (1995) and were located in group 3 with the nearest marker, OPE7.1C, 4.0 cM away (Table 4; Fig. 1). Avr3c also mapped to linkage group 3 and was located at one end (Fig. 1). Avr1b and 1k cosegregated in the 7/25 cross of Whisson et al. (1995), but were very closely linked in the 7/16



Fig. 1. Integrated genetic linkage map of *P. sojae*. The lettered codes represent three types of molecular markers. RAPD markers start with the letters 'OP' and the operon primer code while the numbers behind the decimal point start at the largest polymorphic band. RFLP markers are a lettered code and AFLP markers begin with the letter 'E' (*Eco*RI primer) and contain a four letter code starting with 'M' (*MseI* primer). Distance in centimorgans (cM) is on the left of the linkage groups. The phase of the linkage of the markers has been given either a '+' or '-' notation by the right of the marker; any codominant marker is indicated by 'C' to the right of the marker. Ten avirulence (*Avr*) loci have been positioned on the major linkage groups 1, 2, 3, and 4 and the minor linkage groups, b and c.



Fig. 1. (continued)

Table 5

Total genome coverage, total number of markers, length of individual linkage groups, number of markers per group, average spacing between markers, and largest genetic interval for each linkage group for *P. sojae* 

| Linkage<br>group | Length<br>(cM) | No. of<br>markers | Average<br>spacing<br>(cM) | Largest in-<br>terval (cM) |
|------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1                | 101.4          | 35                | 3.0                        | 11.1                       |
| 2                | 95.1           | 30                | 3.3                        | 14.0                       |
| 3                | 119.2          | 44                | 2.8                        | 10.4                       |
| 4                | 54.9           | 13                | 4.6                        | 13.5                       |
| 5                | 61.1           | 22                | 2.9                        | 16.7                       |
| 6                | 72.4           | 18                | 4.3                        | 9.3                        |
| 7                | 83.1           | 19                | 4.6                        | 18.5                       |
| 8                | 56.8           | 17                | 3.6                        | 7.9                        |
| 9                | 79.6           | 10                | 8.8                        | 21.5                       |
| 10               | 96.5           | 24                | 4.2                        | 14.2                       |
| 11               | 38.8           | 10                | 4.3                        | 11.8                       |
| 12               | 43.1           | 17                | 2.7                        | 8.9                        |
| 13               | 83.6           | 10                | 9.3                        | 23.8                       |
| 14               | 44.7           | 11                | 4.5                        | 18.0                       |
| 15               | 119.7          | 24                | 5.2                        | 9.9                        |
| 16               | 59.9           | 13                | 5.0                        | 11.7                       |
| 17               | 37.8           | 8                 | 5.4                        | 19.8                       |
| 18               | 27.4           | 5                 | 6.9                        | 10.1                       |
| 19               | 72.8           | 16                | 4.9                        | 10.3                       |
| 20               | 77.9           | 15                | 5.6                        | 29.2                       |
| 21               | 66.6           | 5                 | 16.7                       | 21.2                       |
| a                | 13.0           | 2                 | 13.0                       | 13.0                       |
| b                | 15.1           | 2                 | 15.1                       | 15.1                       |
| с                | 21.6           | 2                 | 21.6                       | 21.6                       |
| d                | 14.8           | 3                 | 7.4                        | 8.4                        |
| e                | 36.9           | 4                 | 12.3                       | 15.6                       |
| f                | 21.6           | 4                 | 7.2                        | 11.7                       |
| g                | 25.0           | 3                 | 12.5                       | 14.5                       |
| Total            | 1640.4         | 386               | 4.6                        |                            |

cross (Fig. 1) and were assigned to linkage group 2. A single  $F_2$  individual from the 7/16 cross was virulent toward one Rps gene but avirulent on the other demonstrated that Avr1b and Avr1k were distinct but closely linked genes. Such an example was observed for Avr1b and 1k in the 7/16 cross. In contrast, when the number of  $F_2$  individuals was increased to 99 in the 7/25 cross, Avr4 and 6 were still observed to cosegregate absolutely (S.C. Whisson, unpublished). In both examples cited above, certain races isolated from the field express avirulence toward one *Rps* gene but not the other (Ward, 1990). For example, seven races (races 2, 10, 11, 17, 23, 24, and 26) possessed Avr1k but not Avr1b (Schmitthenner et al., 1994); five races (races 6, 13, 23, 38, and 51) possessed Avr but not Avr (Henry and Kirkpatrick, 1995; Ryley et al., 1998; Schmitthenner et al., 1994; Ward, 1990). Linkage was also observed between Avr3a and 5 (linkage group 4 in Fig. 1), and between Avr3c and Avr4/6 (linkage group 3). However, no other avirulence genes exhibited linkage. Genomic regions defined by Avr4-Avr6, Avr1b-1k, and Avr3a-Avr5 may represent clusters of genes that are associated with virulence and perhaps other sets of related functions.

# 4.4. Outcrossing between different Phytophthora sojae races

Outcrossing rates between North American and Australian isolates were consistently lower than those among North American isolates. In this investigation, an outcrossing rate of 0.3% between a North American race 17 and an Australian race 25 was observed. Whisson et al. (1994, 1995) also observed relatively low rates of 2.8 and 2.0%, respectively, for their 7/1 and 7/25 crosses, representing isolates from Australia and North America. In contrast, the two North American isolates in the 7/16 cross produced an outcrossing rate of 36%. Tyler et al. (1995) observed outcrossing rates of 12.5% (race 2 and race 19) and 3.5% (race 2 and race 7) among North American isolates. It is unclear whether there is a genetic basis for this difference in outcrossing rates among isolates of different geographic origins or if different experimental conditions are the sole cause of these differences. However, it is of interest to note that the North American P. sojae populations have a much higher level of genetic diversity than the Australian P. sojae population (Drenth et al., 1996; Förster et al., 1994). It is possible that there are few, if any, genetic barriers to prevent outcrossing among different races in North America. Therefore, it is of considerable practical importance to study the possible occurrence and the level of outcrossing among races in field situations in North America, as outcrossing may be a mechanism that leads to the appearance of new races as hypothesised by Förster et al. (1994).

In this paper, we present genetic data on 11 of the 13 avirulence genes that are currently known in *P. sojae*. This has enabled us to construct a detailed integrated genetic linkage map of the oomycete *P. sojae* that incorporates 10 of these genes. New markers and avirulence genes can be continually integrated into this map to increase its coverage of the *P. sojae* genome. Any molecular marker closely linked to an avirulence gene may be used as a starting point for chromosome walking and map-based cloning of avirulence genes. Cloning and characterisation of these genes is a prerequisite for deducing the molecular mechanism controlling virulence/avirulence during host–pathogen interactions.

# Acknowledgments

We thank M. Gijzen for *P. sojae* races 16 and 17, M.J. Ryley for race 25 and differential soybean seed, J. Paxton for isolate US7, and S.H. Soo for the technical assistance. Also, we thank S. Kamoun, C.M.J. Pieterse, F. Govers, B.M. Tyler, W. Sacks, J.S. Marshall, L.P. Lehnen, and A.R. Hardham for the RFLP probes used in this investigation. The Australian Research Council, the University of Queensland, and the Cooperative Research Centre for Tropical Plant Pathology provided funding for this research.

#### References

- Al-Kherb, S.M., Fininsa, C., Shattock, R.C., Shaw, D.S., 1995. The inheritance of virulence of *Phytophthora infestans* to potato. Plant Pathol. 44, 552–562.
- Barrett, J., 1985. The gene-for-gene hypothesis: parable or paradigm. In: Rollinson, D., Anderson, R.M. (Eds.), Ecology and Genetics of Host Parasite Interactions. The Linnean Society, London, UK, pp. 215–225.
- Buzzell, R.L., Anderson, T.R., 1992. Inheritance and race reaction of a new soybean *Rps*1 allele. Plant Dis. 76, 600–601.
- Day, P.R., 1974. Genetics of Host–Parasite Interaction. Freeman, San Francisco.
- Diers, B.W., Mansur, L., Imsande, J., Shoemaker, R.C., 1992. Mapping *Phytophthora* resistance loci in soybean with restriction fragment length polymorphism markers. Crop Sci. 32, 377–383.
- Drenth, A., Whisson, S.C., Maclean, D.J., Irwin, J.A.G., Obst, N.R., Ryley, M.J., 1996. The evolution of races of *Phytophthora sojae* in Australia. Phytopathol. 86, 163–169.
- El-Kharbotly, A., Leonards-Schippers, C., Huigen, D.J., Jacobsen, E., Pereira, A., Steikema, W.J., Salamini, F., Gebhardt, C., 1994. Segregation analysis and RFLP mapping of the R1 and R3 alleles conferring race-specific resistance to *Phytophthora infestans* in progeny of dihaploid potato parents. Mol. Gen. Genet. 242, 749– 754.
- El-Kharbotly, A., Palomino Sanchez, C., Salamini, F., Jacobsen, E., Gebhardt, C., 1996. R6 and R7 alleles of potato conferring racespecific resistance to *Phytophthora infestans* (Mont. de Bary) identified genetic loci clustering with the R3 locus on chromosome XI. Theor. Appl. Genet. 92, 880–884.
- Farrara, B.F., Ilott, T.W., Michelmore, R.W., 1987. Genetic analysis of factors for resistance to downy mildew (*Bremia lactucae*) in species of lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* and *L. serriola*). Plant Pathol. 36, 499–514.
- Flor, H.H., 1942. Inheritance of pathogenicity in *Melampsora lini*. Phytopathol. 32, 653–669.
- Förster, H., Tyler, B.M., Coffey, M.D., 1994. *Phytophthora sojae* races have arisen by clonal evolution and by rare outcrosses. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 7, 780–791.
- Gijzen, M., Förster, H., Coffey, M.D., Tyler, B., 1996. Cosegregation of Avr4 and Avr6 in Phytophthora sojae. Can. J. Bot. 74, 800–802.
- Henry, R.N., Kirkpatrick, T.L., 1995. Two new races of *Phytophthora* sojae, causal agent of Phytophthora root and stem rot of soybean, identified from Arkansas soybean fields. Plant Dis. 79, 1074.
- Hutcheson, S.W., 1998. Current concepts of active defense in plants. Ann. Rev. Phytopathol. 36, 59–90.
- Hulbert, S.C., Ilott, T.W., Legg, E.J., Lincoln, S.E., Lander, E.S., Michelmore, R.W., 1988. Genetic analysis of the fungus, *Bremia lactucae*, using restriction fragment length polymorphisms. Genetics 120, 947–958.
- Ilott, T.W., Hulbert, S.H., Michelmore, R.W., 1989. Genetic analysis of the gene-for-gene interaction between lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*) and *Bremia lactucae*. Phytopathol. 79, 888–897.
- Kamoun, S., van West, P., de Jong, A.J., de Groot, K.E., Vleeshouwers, V.G.A.A., Govers, F., 1997. A gene encoding a protein elicitor of *Phytophthora infestansis* down-regulated during infection of potato. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 10, 13–20.
- Kesseli, R.V., Paran, I., Michelmore, R.W., 1994. Analysis of a detailed genetic linkage map of *Lactuca sativa* (lettuce) constructed from RFLP and RAPD markers. Genetics 136, 1435–1446.
- Lander, E.S., Green, P., Abrahamson, J., Barlow, A., Daly, M.J., Lincoln, S.E., Newburg, L., 1987. MAPMAKER: an interactive computer package for constructing primary genetic linkage maps of experimental and natural populations. Genomics 1, 174–181.

- Laugè, R., de Wit, P.J.G.M., 1998. Fungal avirulence genes: structure and possible functions. Fungal Genet. Biol. 24, 285–297.
- Marshall, J.S., Wilkinson, J.M., Moore, T., Hardham, A.R., 2001. Structure and expression of the genes encoding proteins resident in large peripheral vesicles of *Phytophthora cinnamomizoospores*. Protoplasma 215, 226–239.
- Michelmore, R.W., Norwood, J.M., Ingram, D.S., Crute, I.R., Nicholson, P., 1984. The inheritance of virulence in *Bremia lactucae* to match resistance factors 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, and 11 in lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*). Plant Pathol. 33, 301–315.
- Michelmore, R.W., Paran, I., Kesseli, R.V., 1991. Identification of markers linked to disease resistance genes by bulked segregant analysis: a rapid method to detect markers in specific genomic regions by using segregating populations. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88, 9828–9832.
- Oort, A.J.P., 1944. Onderzoekingen over stuifbrand. II. Overgevoeligheid van tarwe voor stuifbrand (*Ustilago tritici*) with a summary: hypersensitiveness of wheat to loose smut. Tijdschr. Planteziekten 50, 73–106.
- Pieterse, C.M.J., Risseeuw, E.P., Davidse, L.C., 1991. An in plantainduced gene of *Phytophthora infestans* codes for ubiquitin. Plant Mol. Biol. 17, 799–811.
- Pieterse, C.M.J., Verbakel, H.M., Spaans, J.H., Davidse, L.C., Govers, F., 1993. Increased expression of the calmodulin gene of the late blight fungus *Phytophthora infestans* during pathogenesis on potato. Mol. Plant–Microbe Interact. 6, 164–172.
- Postlethwait, J.H., Johnson, S.L., Midson, C.N., Talbot, W.S., Gates, M., Ballinger, E.W., Africa, D., Andrews, R., Carl, T., Eisen, J.S., Horne, S., Kimmel, C.B., Hutchinson, M., Johnson, M., Rodriguez, A., 1994. A genetic linkage map for the zebrafish. Science 264, 699–703.
- Ryley, M.J., Obst, N.R., Stovold, G.E., 1991. A new race of *Phytophthora megasperma* f. sp. *glycinea* on soybeans in Australia. Australas. Plant. Pathol. 20, 97–100.
- Ryley, M.J., Obst, N.R., Irwin, J.A.G., Drenth, A., 1998. Changes in the racial composition of *Phytophthora sojae* in Australia between 1979 and 1996. Plant Dis. 82, 1048–1054.
- Sacks, W., Nürnberger, T., Hahlbrock, K., Scheel, D., 1995. Molecular characterisation of nucleotide sequences encoding the extracellular glycoprotein elicitor from *Phytophthora megasperma*. Mol. Gen. Genet. 246, 45–55.
- Sansome, E., Brasier, C.M., 1974. Polyploidy associated varietal differentiation in the megasperma complex of *Phytophthora*. Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc. 63, 461–467.
- Schmitthenner, A.F., 1985. Problems and progress in control of Phytophthora root rot of soybean. Plant Dis. 69, 362–368.
- Schmitthenner, A.F., Hobe, M., Bhat, R.G., 1994. *Phytophthora sojae* races in Ohio over a 10-year interval. Plant Dis. 78, 269–276.
- Thompson, J.N., Burdon, J.J., 1992. Gene-for-gene coevolution between plants and parasites. Nature 360, 121–125.
- Stam, P., 1993. Construction of generic maps by means of a new computer package: Joinmap. Plant J. 3, 739–744.
- Tyler, B.M., Förster, H., Coffey, M.D., 1995. Inheritance of avirulence factors and RFLP markers in outcrosses of the oomycete *Phytophthora sojae*. Mol. Plant–Microbe Interact. 8, 515–523.
- Van der Lee, T., Robold, A., Testa, A., van't Klooster, J.W., Govers, F., 2001. Mapping of avirulence genes in *Phytophthora infestans* with AFLP markers selected by bulked segregant analysis. Genetics 157, 949–956.
- van Ooijen, J.W., 1993. Drawmap version 1.0 A computer program to draw genetic linkage maps, Centre for Plant Breeding and Reproduction Research, CPRO-DLO, P.O. Box 16, 6700 AA Wageningen, The Netherlands.
- van West, P., Kamoun, S., van't Klooster, J.W., Govers, F., 1999. Internuclear gene silencing in *Phytophthora infestans*. Mol. Cell 3, 339–348.

- Vos, P., Hogers, R., Bleeker, M., Reijans, M., van der Lee, T., Hornes, M., Frijters, A., Pot, J., Peleman, J., Kuiper, M., Zabeau, M., 1995. AFLP: a new technique for DNA fingerprinting. Nucleic Acids Res. 23, 4407–4414.
- Ward, E.W.B., 1990. The interaction of soya beans with *Phytophthora* megasperma f. sp. glycinea: pathogenicity. In: Hornby, D. (Ed.), Biological Control of Soil-Borne Plant Pathogens. CAB International, Wallingford, UK, pp. 311–327.
- Whisson, S.C., Maclean, D.J., Manners, J.M., Irwin, J.A.G., 1992. Genetic relationships among Australian and North American isolates of *Phytophthora megasperma* f. sp. glycinea assessed by multicopy DNA probes. Phytopathology 82, 863–868.
- Whisson, S.C., Liew, E.C.Y., Hewlett, B.J., Maclean, D.J., Manners, J.M., Irwin, J.A.G., 1993. An assessment of genetic relationships between members of the *Phytophthora megasperma* complex and *Phytophthora vignae* using molecular markers. Austr. J. Syst. Bot. 6, 295–308.
- Whisson, S.C., Drenth, A., Maclean, D.J., Irwin, J.A.G., 1994. Evidence for outcrossing in *Phytophthora sojae* and linkage of a DNA marker to two avirulence genes. Curr. Genet. 27, 77–82.
- Whisson, S.C., Drenth, A., Maclean, D.J., Irwin, J.A.G., 1995. *Phytophthora sojae* avirulence genes, RAPD, and RFLP markers used to construct a detailed genetic linkage map. Mol. Plant– Microbe Interact. 8, 988–995.