## INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SPICES RESEARCH KOZHIKODE-673-012, KERALA (Indian Council of Agricultural Research)

# FINAL RESEARCH PROJECT REPORT (RPP- III)

| 1. | Institute Project Code  | :       | Nema IV (813)  |
|----|---|---------|--|
| 2. | Project Title   | :       | ROLE OF PHENYL PROPANOIDS IN BLACK<br>PEPPER – BURROWING NEMATODE<br>INTERACTIONS  |
| 3. | Key Words   | :       | Black pepper, burrowing nematode,<br>host-parasite interaction, phenylpropanoids,<br><i>Piper nigrum, Radopholus similis</i> |
| 4. | (a) Name of Lead Institute  | :       | ICAR-Indian Institute of Spices Research   |
|    | (b) Name of Division/Regional<br>Center/Section                                 | :       | Division of Crop Protection  |
| 5. | (a) Name of the Collaborating<br>Institute(s)                                   | :       | Nil  |
|    | (b) Name of Division/Regional<br>Center/Section of Collaboratin<br>Institute(s) | :<br>1g | NA   |

6. Project Team (Name(s) and designation of PI, CC-PI and Co-PIs, with time spent :

| Sl. | Name, designation  | Status in the                 | Time spent | Work components assigned   |
|-----|--|-------------------------------|------------|--|
| No. | and institute  | project (PI/CC-<br>PI/ Co-PI) | (%)        | to individual scientist  |
| 1.  | Santhosh J. Eapen<br>Principal Scientist                         | PI                            | 50         | <ul> <li>Studies on cell wall degrading<br/>enzymes secreted by <i>R. similis</i></li> <li>Bioassay of selected<br/>compounds for nematode<br/>inhibition</li> </ul>                                 |
| 2.  | A. Shamina, Senior<br>Scientist (up to 2012)                     | Co-PI                         | 40         | <ul> <li>Monitoring changes in enzyme activities</li> <li>Extraction and quantification of phenylpropanoids</li> <li>Studies on cell wall degrading enzymes secreted by <i>R. similis</i></li> </ul> |
| 3.  | Johnson K. George,<br>Principal Scientist<br>(from 2013 onwards) | Co-PI                         | 10         | -  |

7. Priority Area : Basic Research

**8.** Project Duration: Date of Start : 2008

- 9. (a) Objectives:
  - a. To study the post-infectional changes in phenylpropanoid pathway in black pepper infested with *Radopholus similis*
  - b. To characterize defence responses to *R. similis* in susceptible and resistant black pepper lines
  - c. To investigate the inhibitory effects of phenylpropanoid pathway products on plant parasitic nematodes
  - (b) Practical Utility:

*Immediate benefits*: A better understanding of the plant-nematode interaction is urgently needed for evolving an effective management strategy against *R. similis* in black pepper nurseries and plantations. The proposed study is envisaged to elucidate the nature of resistance in some of the identified black pepper cultivars too.

*Medium term benefits*: The natural compounds that are identified as nematode inhibitory or repellent can be scaled up as commercial nematicides. The gene transcripts of such compounds that can be extended further to identification, cloning and sequencing of genes involved in parasitism and other nematode specific processes.

*Long term benefits*: The identification of genes involved in nematode parasitism as well as the utilization of nematode inducible plant genes, will be valuable resources for creating new forms of durable plant resistance, and may lead to genetic engineering for nematode resistance in important cultivars of black pepper.

| 10. | Final Rei | port of the Pro | iect P | lease see / | Annexure 1  |
|-----|-----------|-----------------|--------|-------------|-------------|
| 101 | I mai nej |                 |        | icuse see i | milenai e 1 |

- (a) Materials and Methods:
- (b) Results and Discussion:
- (c) Objective-wise Achievements:
- (b) Conclusions:

#### **11.** Financial Implications (Lakh Rs.):

# 11.1 Expenditure on (a) Manpower (b) Research/Recurring Contingencies (c) Non-Recurring Cost

| (c) Non-Recurring Cost    |   | 0.00 |
|---------------------------|---|------|
| (Including Cost of        |   |      |
| Equipment)                |   |      |
| (d) Any Other Expenditure | : | 0.00 |
| Incurred                  |   |      |
|                           |   |      |
|                           |   |      |

20.78

1.25

0 00

:

:

## **12.** Cumulative Output:

(a) Special Attainments/Innovations:

- Salicylic acid, syringaldehyde and ferulic acid were found to possess potential nematicidal activity under in vitro and greenhouse assays which has to be further validated through extensive pot/field trials.
- Higher levels of proanthocynidins in black pepper roots is an indicator of nematode resistance and can be developed as a good technique for screening for resistance.

(b) List of publications (1 copy each to be submitted):

i. Research Papers

- Sangeetha K., Rosana, O.B. and Eapen, S.J. 2012. EST secretome analysis of *Radopholus similis* nematode. *Online J. Bioinformatics* 13 (1):103-119.
- Rosana OB, Krishna PB and Eapen SJ 2014. Virtual screening and in vitro assay to explore novel inhibitors from black pepper against potential targets of *Radopholus similis*. *International Journal of Computer Applications* 86(14): 35-43.

| ii. Reports/Manuals             | : | Nil |
|---------------------------------|---|-----|
| iii. Working and Concept Papers | : | Nil |
| iv. Popular Articles            | : | Nil |
| v. Books/Book Chapters          | : |     |

- Eapen, S.J. 2010. Nematode infestation in spice cultivations. In: *Nematode Infestations Part II: Industrial Crops*. Pp. 82-119. Eds. M.R. Khan and M.S. Jairajpuri. The National Academy of Sciences, Allahabad, India.
- Suseela Bhai, R. and Eapen S.J. 2010. Integrated management of diseases of important spice crops. In: *Sustainable Crop Protection Strategies* Vol. I. Pp. 282-333. Eds. H.R. Sardana, O.M. Bambawale and D. Prasad. Daya Publishing House, New Delhi.
- Sirohi, A., Nagesh, M. and Eapen S.J. 2012. Application of molecular approaches in nematode biocontrol. In: *Status and Prospects for enhancing the Uptake of Antagonistic Organisms for Nematode Management in India*. Pp. 134-146, Eds. M. Nagesh, Rajkumar, B.S. Bhumannavar & N.K. Krishna Kumar. National Bureau of Agriculturally Important Insects, Bangalore, Karnataka.

vi. Extension Bulletins : Nil

(c). Intellectual Property Generation : Nil
 (Patents: filed/obtained; Copyrights filed/obtained; Designs: filed/
 obtained; Registration details of variety/germplasm/accession)

(d) Presentation in Workshop/Seminars/Symposia/Conferences (relevant to the project):

• Sangeetha, K., Riju, A., Rosana, O.B., Chandrasekar, A., Reena, N. and Eapen, S.J. (2011). EST based secretome analysis of burrowing nematode, *Radopholus similis*. Silver Jubilee Symposium on Bioinformatics. Centre for Bioinformatics, Pondicherry University, Pondicherry.

- Rosana OB, Dinsha M, Shamina A and Eapen S J (2011). *In silico* and *in vitro* studies to explore potential nematicidal phenylpropanoids from *Piper nigrum* L. against *Radopholus similis*. Presented in International Symposium on Biocomputing on 12-13 September 2011, Calicut, Kerala, India. Poster No: ISBPS050.
- Santhosh J Eapen, Krishna P B, Shamina A and R R Nair (2014). Biochemical responses of black pepper to infestation by burrowing nematode, *Radopholus similis*. In: Abstracts-PLACROSYM XXI, International Symposium on Plantation Crops, ICAR-Indian Institute of Spices Research, Kozhikode, Kerala, India.

(e) Details of technology developed (Crop-based; Animal-based, including vaccines; Biologicalbiofertilizer, biopesticide, etc; IT based-database, software; Any other-please specify):

- Salicylic acid, syringaldehyde and ferulic acid were found to possess potential nematicidal activity under *in vitro* and greenhouse assays which has to be further validated through extensive pot/field trials.
- Higher levels of proanthocynidins in black pepper roots is an indicator of nematode resistance and can be developed as a good technique for screening for resistance.

| (f) Trainings/demonstrations or | ganized: | Nil |
|---------------------------------|----------|-----|
| (g) Trainings received          | :        | Nil |

(h) Any other relevant information : Nil

| 13. | (a) | Extent of achiev | vement of obi  | ectives and  | outputs | earmarked a   | is per | RPP-I:   |
|-----|-----|------------------|----------------|--------------|---------|---------------|--------|----------|
| 101 | (u) | Dricent of denie | cincine or obj | cettives una | outputs | cui mui neu c | is per | 1.1.1.1. |

| -     |                        |                        |                                    |           |
|-------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Objec | Activity               | Envisaged output of    | Output achieved                    | Extent of |
| tive  |                        | monitorable            |                                    | achievem  |
| wise  |                        | target(s)              |                                    | ent       |
|       |                        |                        |                                    | (%)       |
| 1.    | 1. Studies on          | Monitoring changes in  | Changes in all the three           | 100       |
|       | changes in             | enzyme activities      | phenyl propanoid                   |           |
|       | phenylpropanoid        | - Phenylalanine        | pathway enzymes viz. PAL,          |           |
|       | pathway enzymes        | ammonia lyase (PAL)    | C4H and COMT were                  |           |
|       | consequent to R.       | - Cinnamic acid-4-     | monitored in a susceptible         |           |
|       | similis infection      | hydrolase (C4H)        | (Sreekara) and resistant           |           |
|       |                        | - Caffeic acid–O-      | (HP 39) black pepper               |           |
|       |                        | methyltransferase      | varieties consequent to <i>R</i> . |           |
|       |                        | (COMT)                 | similis infection.                 |           |
| 2.    | 1. Biochemical         | Extraction and         | Lignin content of the roots        | 60        |
|       | changes in             | quantification of      | of the susceptible Sreekara        |           |
|       | defense pathways       | phenylpropanoids like  | and resistant HP-39 were           |           |
|       | in resistant vis-a-    | lignin, hydroxy        | estimated. However,                |           |
|       | vis susceptible        | cinnamic acid, ferulic | attempts to extract and            |           |
|       | black pepper           | acid and dopamine      | purify hydroxy cinnamic            |           |
|       | lines infected         | -                      | acid were not successful.          |           |
|       | with <i>R. similis</i> |                        |                                    |           |
|       |                        |                        |                                    |           |

|    | 2. Isolation and   | Quantitative assays for  | Qualitative and   | 90  |
|----|--|--|---|-----|
|    | purification of  | anthocayanidins,   | quantitative assays were  |     |
|    | selected products  | proanthocyanidins  | carried out for these   |     |
|    | in shikimic acid   | and their precursors,  | biochemical products in   |     |
|    | patnway  | leucoanthocyanidins  | root extracts of Sreekara   |     |
|    |  |  | and HP 39   |     |
| 3. | Studies on cell wall<br>degrading enzymes<br>secreted by <i>R. similis</i> | Studies on cell wall<br>degrading enzymes<br>secreted by R. similis<br>viz. β-1,4<br>endoglucanase,<br>chorismate mutase<br>and<br>polygalacturonase | An EST based secretome<br>analysis was carried out<br>and the excretory-<br>secretory (ES) proteins<br>were identified. The<br>presence of cellulose<br>degrading enzymes was<br>identified through some<br>preliminary assays.<br>Eight novel targets of <i>R.</i><br><i>similis,</i> involved in<br>invasion, parasitism,<br>growth and survival were<br>selected and modelled for<br>further in silico analyses. | 80  |
| 4. | Bioassay of selected   | <i>In silico</i> bioassays   | Eighteen compounds out  | 100 |
|    | compounds/enzyme   |  | of 26 phytochemicals  |     |
|    | s for suppression of   |  | possessed antihelminthic  |     |
|    | R. similis   |  | (nematicidal) activity  |     |
|    |  |  | based on PASS prediction  |     |
|    |  |  | and were docked with the  |     |
|    |  |  | above eight nematode  |     |
|    |  |  | targets.  |     |
|    |  | In vitro bioassays   | Eight promising   | 100 |
|    |  |  | for nematode mortality in   |     |
|    |  |  | four concentrations (200  |     |
|    |  |  | $100 50 20 \text{ µg m}^{-1}$ $100 \text{ m}^{-1}$  |     |
|    |  |  | laboratory conditions   |     |
|    |  | In planta bioassays  | The best two compounds,<br>salicylic acid and ferulic<br>acid were tested for<br>suppression of R. similis in<br>a pot study.   | 100 |

(b) Reasons of shortfall, if any:

Because of the transfer of co-investigator mid-way, some of the biochemical works could not be taken up.

14. Efforts made for commercialization/technology transfer :

More studies are needed before venturing into commercialization/technology transfer.

- 15. (a) How the output is proposed to be utilized?
  - The present screening methodology can be modified in view of the research finding that higher levels of proanthocynidins in black pepper roots is an indicator of nematode resistance.
  - The natural compounds that are identified as nematode inhibitory or repellent can be used for management of nematodes after laying out further testing under field conditions.
  - More *in vitro* and *in planta* assays are currently being undertaken as part of the doctoral studies of one of the student.

(b) How it will help in knowledge creation?

• The study is the first of its kind to understand the biochemical changes in black pepper consequent to nematode parasitism. It also thrown some light on the differential reaction of nematode resistant susceptible black pepper cultivars to *R. similis.* 

**16.** Expected benefits and economic impact (if any):

• The project provided a better understanding of the plant-nematode interaction which is quite helpful for evolving an effective management strategy against *R. similis* in black pepper nurseries and plantations. Knowledge of resistance mechanism(s) in these plants could provide 'markers' to facilitate and speed up the screening of *Piper* germplasm and improved hybrids.

**17.** Future line of research work/other identifiable problems:

- Field evaluation of ferulic acid, syringaldehyde and salicylic acid for management of plant parasitic nematodes.
- Gene expression studies in *R. similis* exposed to above compounds
- Differential display of genes in black pepper infected with *R. similis*
- Transcriptome studies in black pepper lines resistant/susceptible to *R. similis*
- Engineering for nematode resistance in important cultivars of black pepper

**18.** Details of research data (registers and records) of the project deposited with the institute:

Field/Lab Observation Book No. 138

Experimental Data Register No. 111

(Both are maintained in Nematology Laboratory, ICAR-IISR, Kozhikode)

**19.** Signature of PI, CC-PI, Co-PIs:

Dr. Santhosh J. Eapen

Dr. Johnson K. George

**20.** Signature of Head of Division:

**21.** Observations of PME Cell based on Evaluation of Research Project after completion:

**22.** Signature (with comments if any along with rating of the project in the scale of 1 to 10 on the overall quality of the work) of Director :

#### 1. Role of phenyl propanoids in black pepper - burrowing nematode interactions

Changes in three phenyl propanoid pathway enzymes viz. Phenylalanine ammonia lyase (PAL), cinnamic acid-4-hydrolase (C4H) and caffeic acid-O-methyltransferase (COMT) were monitored in a susceptible (Sreekara) and resistant (HP 39) black pepper varieties consequent to *R. similis* infection. For this, rooted cuttings (3 months old) were raised in sterile potting mixture in greenhouse at IISR. Cultures of *R. similis* originally isolated from black pepper was maintained axenically on carrot discs. Nematodes were collected in sterile water from six-week old carrot disks. The suspension was made up with sterile water to a concentration of 30-50 nematodes per mL. The nematode suspension (10-15 mL) was inoculated around the root zone in the adhering soil @  $\sim$ 500 nematodes per plant. The inoculated plants were sampled at 48 h, 1 week and 1 month. Plants wounded at root, by trimming root tips using sterile blade and sampled at 48 h, 1 week and 1 month were used as a check while uninoculated IISR Sreekara and tolerant HP39 plants were used as controls. The samples were assayed for the activity of PAL by the method of Hahlbrock et al. (1971) and Zucker (1975) spectrophotometrically, and expressed as µM t-cinnamic acid formed per min per mL crude enzyme extract. C4H activity was quantified using a HPLC (Peterson, 2003), and expressed as µM p-coumaric acid formed per min per mL crude enzyme extract. COMT was monitored by TLC of the product followed by quantification using a spectrophotometer (Shimada et al., 1970), and expressed as  $\mu$ M ferulic acid formed per min per mL crude enzyme extract. Two replications were maintained for all the treatments.

The PAL activity was constitutively high in HP 39 compared to the susceptible line. However, PAL activity increased in susceptible plants immediately after wounding or infestation by *R. similis* and subsequently reduced over a period of 30 days. However, *R. similis* infestation lowered PAL activity in the resistant plants. There was not much variation in the constitutive activity of C4H in susceptible as well as resistant black pepper plants. But on infestation with *R. similis*, the activity of C4H shot up in HP 39 plants (Table 1). The changes in C4H activity were only marginal in wounded samples. The activity declined by one month after inoculation in both cases. However, in the susceptible Sreekara there were no discernible changes in the enzyme activity.

COMT activity increased within 48 h after *R.similis* inoculation/wounding in both Sreekara and in HP-39, compared to the activity in control plants. Its activity decreased thereafter.

#### 2. Estimation of phenyl propanoids

Lignin content of the roots of the susceptible Sreekara and resistant HP-39 were estimated at 48 h, 7 days and a month after inoculation/wounding. Lignin content was comparatively low in HP 39 compared to Sreekara. However, it decreased significantly (by a third of the control values) in Sreekara within 48 hours of inoculation/wounding and further increased to control values in a week and again decreased in a month. In HP-39, which had only 1/3rd the lignin content of the susceptible variety in the control plants, the decrease in lignin content (by half original lignin content) continued up to the 1st week after inoculation/ wounding, and increased to double the control values in a month in the inoculated samples.

Methods to extract and purify hydroxy cinnamic acids were standardized. However, attempts to extract and purify hydroxy cinnamic acid from different sets of inoculated and control samples were not successful. Repeated attempts to extract and purify hydroxy cinnamic acid from different sets of inoculated and control samples were not successful. The work will be taken up again after modifying the protocol being used.

**Table 1.** Changes in the activities of phenylalanine ammonia lyase and cinnamic acid-4-hydrolase enzymes in susceptible (Sreekara) and resistant<br/>(HP 39) black pepper lines in response to *R. similis* infestation and wounding.

| Treatment  | Resistant line (HP 39) |          |                | Susceptible line (Sreekara) |                 |        |        | Gen.   |        |
|--|------------------------|----------|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|  | 2d                     | 7d       | 30d            | Mean                        | 2d              | 7d     | 30d    | Mean   | Mean   |
| Activity of Phenylalanine Ammonia Lyase (PAL) – units x 10 <sup>-3</sup> |                        |          |                |                             |                 |        |        |        |        |
| Control  | 18.65b                 | 18.53c   | 18.58b         | 18.59b                      | 6.08a           | 6.08a  | 6.08   | 6.08a  | 12.34b |
| R.similis inoculated   | 12.54a                 | 8.78a    | 1.70a          | 7.67a                       | 12.97b          | 12.05b | 11.79a | 12.27b | 9.97a  |
| Wounded  | 22.89b                 | 14.97b   | 17.75b         | 18.54b                      | 21.00c          | 9.03b  | 11.16a | 13.73b | 16.13c |
| Mean   | 18.03                  | 14.09    | 12.67          | 14.93                       | 13.35           | 9.05   | 9.68   | 10.69  | -      |
| LSD variety – NS ; LSD vari  | ety x interval         | - 2.32   |                | I                           |                 |        |        |        | 1      |
|  |                        | Activity | of cinnamic ad | cid-4-hydrolase             | e (C4H) - units | x 10-3 |        |        |        |
| Control  | 0.93a                  | 0.93     | 0.93           | 0.93ab                      | 0.98a           | 0.98   | 0.98   | 0.98a  | 0.95b  |
| R.similis inoculated   | 1.57a                  | 2.49b    | 0.66a          | 1.57b                       | 0.84a           | 3.80b  | 0.00   | 1.54b  | 1.56c  |
| Wounded  | 1.07a                  | 1.11a    | 0.60a          | 0.93a                       | 0.64a           | 0.60a  | 0.24   | 0.50a  | 0.71a  |
| Mean   | 1.19                   | 1.51     | 0.73           | 1.14                        | 0.82            | 1.79   | 0.41   | 1.01   | -      |
| LSD variety – NS ; LSD vari  | ety x interval         | - 0.33   | 1              | 1                           | 1               | 1      | 1      | 1      |        |

Qualitative and quantitative assays were carried out for anthocayanidins, proanthocyanidins and their precursors, leucoanthocyanidins, in root extracts by specific extraction procedures and butanol/HCl assay. Levels of leucoanthocyanidins are found to be higher in the susceptible cultivar (Sreekara) while levels of condensed tannins (proanthocyanidins and anthocyanidins) and phenols were higher in the resistant line, Hp 39, indicative of providing defense against the entry of *R. similis* to black pepper root systems (Fig. 1). The nematode infection increased the total phenol and proanthocyanidin content of susceptible and tolerant black pepper plants. Butanol/HCl assay was carried out in a few more selected lines of black pepper, reported as resistant to plant parasitic nematodes. The results have indicated that the level of proanthocynidins was comparatively high in resistant lines viz. Acc. 3219, C.1090 and *P. colubrinum* compared to susceptible lines like Sreekara or Panniyur 1.



Fig. 1. Comparative levels of phenyl propanoids in black pepper lines, Sreekara and Hp 39

#### 3. Studies on cell wall degrading enzymes secreted by R. similis

An EST based secretome analysis was carried out to identify the excretory-secretory (ES) proteins of *Radopholus similis*. Out of the 214 secretory proteins identified, about 45% showed similarity to proteins from other nematodes. Functional annotation of these proteins revealed the presence of some of the major secretory and cell wall degrading enzymes like transthyretin (Contig1094), GHF5 endo1, 4 beta glucanase (GW 395922), glutathione-S-transferase1 (GST) (Contig 931), glutamate dehydrogenase (Contig 395) and 3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase (EY193427). Total homogenates of *R. similis* showed clear cellulase activity in the CMC plate assay, and was compared with cellulase activity of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*.

Preliminary assays indicated the presence of cellulose degrading enzymes in burrowing nematodes.

## 4. In silico screening of phenyl propanoids

Novel targets in *R. similis*: Eight novel targets of *R. similis*, involved in invasion, parasitism, growth and survival have been used in this study, which include all possible targets of *R. similis* based on the availability of sequence and template structure information for modelling. They are  $\beta$ -1, 4, endoglucanase, calreticulin-1, xylanase, cathepsin B-like cysteine proteinase, cathepsin S-like cysteine proteinase, cytochrome c-oxidase subunit III, glutathione S-transferase and transthyretin-like protein 3 precursor. The selected targets and their importance in nematode survival are listed in Table 2.

| Target Proteins from <i>R. similis</i>  | Importance in parasitism/ survival  |
|---|---|
| Calreticulin-1                          | Calreticulin/calregulin is a high-capacity calcium-binding<br>protein which is present in most tissues of nematode,<br>they have multiple functions including regulation of<br>signalling and metabolic pathways and controlling cell<br>cycle progress |
| β-1, 4, endoglucanase                   | They are cell wall degrading enzymes which are necessary<br>for nematodes during invasion in to host plant and plays<br>an important role in infection and parasitism of plants   |
| Xylanase                                | They are capable of hydrolyzing substituted xylan<br>polymers into fragments of random size, functions of<br>these enzymes are associated with a variety of<br>developmental processes  |
| Cathepsin B-like cysteine               | Cathepsin cysteine proteinases are involved in a variety of   |
| proteinase                              | important biological processes and have been implicated   |
| Cathepsin S-like cysteine<br>proteinase | in molting, intestinal digestion and tissue remodeling in<br>free living and parasitic nematodes; and is associated with<br>larval molting and cuticle and eggshell remodeling  |
| Cytochrome c oxidase<br>subunit III     | They controls the last step of food oxidation and catalyze ATP synthesis  |
| Glutathione S-transferase               | Multifunctional dimeric enzymes involved in the<br>metabolization of a broad variety of xenobiotics and<br>reactive endogenous compounds  |
| Transthyretin-like protein 3 precursor  | They are one of the most abundant nematode-specific domains, nematode-specific nature of this gene family makes it a promising target for nematicides even though their functional role is not correctly identified [60- 61].                           |

**Table 2.** Selected targets in *R. similis* (based on available sequence data) and their importance in nematode

The side chains and hydrogen atoms were added for refining the structure and the stability of homology model has been validated by checking the geometry using PROCHECK. The model was validated using Ramachandran plot. Ramachandran plot was identified by Procheck program of Structural Analysis and Verification Server (http://nihserver.mbi.ucla.edu/SAVES/) and RAMPAGE- Ramachandran Plot Analysis server (http://mordred.bioc.cam.ac.uk/~rapper/rampage.php). The statistics of non-bonded interactions between different atom types were detected and value of error function was analyzed by Verify\_3D and ERRAT to identify overall quality factor of the model. Active site residues of modeled targets were predicted using CASTp server (http://sts-fw.bioengr.uic.edu/castp/calculation.php).

Molecular modelling of targets: Since experimentally and computationally solved structures were not available for all the selected potential targets, molecular modeling was done by using Modeller9.10 software and I-Tasser tool. Target sequences were retrieved from NCBI database. Conserved motifs present in the selected template sequences were analyzed using Conserved Domain Database (CDD) search. The sequences were then used to Blast all the protein sequences of available PDB structures. Template structures were downloaded from RCSB PDB (http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/home/home.do).

The side chains and hydrogen atoms were added for refining the structure and the stability of homology model has been validated by checking the geometry using PROCHECK. The model was validated using Ramachandran plot. Ramachandran plot was identified by Procheck program of Structural Analysis and Verification Server (http://nihserver.mbi.ucla.edu/SAVES/) and RAMPAGE- Ramachandran Plot Analysis server (http://mordred.bioc.cam.ac.uk/~rapper/ rampage.php). The statistics of non-bonded interactions between different atom types were detected and value of error function was analyzed by Verify\_3D and ERRAT to identify overall quality factor of the model. Active site residues of modeled targets were predicted using CASTp server (http://sts-fw.bioengr.uic.edu/castp/calculation.php).

The template sequences were confirmed with the presence of conserved domain corresponding to each target using CDD search. The structures with highest identity and sequence coverage were used as template to build the 3D structures of target proteins, details of template structures and percentage identity are listed in Table 3. Three dimensional structures of modeled protein, corresponding Ramachandran plot, ERRAT confidence value, overall quality factor of modeled proteins are displayed in Table 4.

| Target<br>Sequence    | Target     Template     X-RAY     Organism       Sequence     Structure (PDB id)     Resoluti     on |                                | Percentage<br>Identity  | Tool Used                     |                 |
|-----------------------|--|--------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|-----------------|
|                       |  | β                              | -1, 4, endoglucanase  |                               |                 |
| ACB38289.             | 1egz Chain: A  | 2.30                           | Erwinia chrysanthemi  | 62%                           | Modeller9.10    |
| 1                     | 3pzv Chain: B  | 1.75                           | Bacillus subtilis subsp. subtilis                                   | 57%                           |                 |
|                       |  |                                | str. 168  |                               |                 |
|                       | 1qi2 Chain: A  | 2.87                           | Bacillus agaradhaerens  | 57%                           |                 |
|                       | •  |                                | Calreticulin-1  |                               | •               |
| ACY01917.             | 3rg0 Chain: A  | 2.57                           | Mus musculus  | 65%                           | Modeller9.10    |
| 1                     | <u>3pos</u> Chain: C   | 1.65                           | Homo sapiens  | 81%                           |                 |
|                       | 300w Chain: A  | 1.95                           | Mus musculus  | 81%                           |                 |
|                       |  |                                | Xylanase  |                               |                 |
| ABZ78968.             | 2y24 Chain: A  | 1.39                           | Erwinia chrysanthemi  | 64%                           | Modeller9.10    |
| 1                     | 3kl0 Chain: A  | 1.64                           | Bacillus subtilis subsp. subtilis<br>str. 168                       | 58%                           |                 |
|                       | 3kl3 Chain: D  | 2.33                           | Bacillus subtilis subsp. subtilis<br>str. 168                       | 58%                           |                 |
|                       | •  | Catheps                        | in B-like cysteine proteinase                                       |                               |                 |
| ADK46902.             | 3s3q Chain: A  | 1.80                           | Schistosoma manson  | 63%                           | Modeller9.10    |
| 1                     | 3pbh Chain: A  | 2.50                           | Homo sapiens  | 57%                           |                 |
|                       | 3s3r Chain: B  | 2.64                           | Schistosoma mansoni   | 62%                           |                 |
|                       |  | Catheps                        | in S-like cysteine proteinase                                       | •                             |                 |
| ACH56227.             | 3hwn Chain: D  | Chain: D 2.33 Homo sapiens 709 |   |                               | Modeller9.10    |
| 1                     | 2xu4 Chain: A  | 1.12                           | Homo sapiens  | 69%                           |                 |
|                       | 2xu1 Chain: D  | 1.45                           | Homo sapiens  | 70%                           |                 |
|                       |  | Cytoch                         | rome c oxidase subunit III  |                               |                 |
| YP_003667             | 2ybb Chain   | 19.0                           | Thermus thermophilus HB8  | 57%                           | Modeller9.10    |
| 894.1                 | 2occ Chain: C  | 2.30                           | Bos Taurus  | 57%                           |                 |
|                       | 2dys Chain: C  | 2.20                           | Bos Taurus  | 57%                           |                 |
|                       |  | Glu                            | itathione S-transferase   |                               |                 |
| Nematode.             | 2ws2 Chain: B  | 2.01                           | Haemonchus contortus  | 56%                           | Modeller9.10    |
| Net:                  | 2on7 Chain: A  | 2.40                           | Necator americanus  | 54%                           |                 |
| Accession:<br>RS07755 | 2on5 Chain: H  | 1.90                           | Necator americanus  | 57%                           |                 |
|                       |  | Transthy                       | retin-like protein 3 precursor                                      |                               |                 |
| CAM84510.<br>1        | I-TASSER server pro<br>structure was select<br>server.   | vides five be<br>ted on the ba | est models for each protein; the lasis of confident result obtained | best protein<br>from I-TASSER | I-TASSER server |

Table 3. Details of R. similis target sequences and template structures used for modeling

**Table 4.** Three dimensional structures, corresponding Ramachandran plot, ERRAT confidence valueand overall quality factor of modeled proteins



|                  |                                   | Xylanase  |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| MODELLED PROTEIN | <b>R</b> AMACHANDRAN <b>P</b> LOT | QUALITY PARAMETERS  |
|                  | Ramachandran Plot<br>Xylanase     | Residues in most favored regions 330, (93.8%)<br>Residues in additional allowed regions 19, (5.4%)<br>Residues in generously allowed regions 2 (0.6%)<br>Residues in disallowed regions 1 (0.3%)<br>  |
|                  | Cathep                            | osin B like cysteine proteinase   |
| MODELLED PROTEIN | RAMACHANDRAN PLOT                 | QUALITY PARAMETERS  |
|                  | Ramachandran Plot<br>Cathepsin B  | Residues in most favored regions 270, (92.2%)<br>Residues in additional allowed regions 14, (4.8%)<br>Residues in generously allowed regions 5, (1.7%)<br>Residues in disallowed regions 4, (1.4%)<br><br>Number of non-glycine and non-proline residues 293 (100.0%)<br>Number of end-residues (excl. Gly and Pro) 12<br>Number of glycine residues (shown as triangles) 29<br>Number of proline residues 18<br><br>Total number of residues 352<br>Verify_3D: 65.33% of the residues had an averaged 3D-1D score > 0.2<br>ERRAT: overall quality factor: 73.734 |

| Cathepsin S-like cysteine proteinase |                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| MODELLED PROTEIN                     | RAMACHANDRAN PLOT | QUALITY PARAMETERS   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                      |                   | Residues in most favored regions 256, (92.4%)<br>Residues in additional allowed regions 15, (5.5%)<br>Residues in generously allowed regions 5, (1.8%)<br>Residues in disallowed regions 1, (0.4%)<br> |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                      | Cytor             | brome c oxidase subunit III  |  |  |  |  |  |

|                  | - <b>5</b> · · · ·                                    |  |
|------------------|---|--|
| MODELLED PROTEIN | <b>RAMACHANDRAN PLOT</b>                              | QUALITY PARAMETERS   |
|                  | Ramachandran Plot<br>Cytochrome c oxidase subunit III | Residues in most favored regions 221, (94.1%)<br>Residues in additional allowed regions 11, (4.7%)<br>Residues in generously allowed regions 3, (1.3%)<br>Residues in disallowed regions 0, (0.0%)<br> |

|                  | G  | lutathione S-transferase   |
|------------------|--|--|
| MODELLED PROTEIN | RAMACHANDRAN PLOT  | QUALITY PARAMETERS   |
|                  | Ramachandran Plot<br>Glutathione S-transferase   | Residues in most favored regions 217, (97%)<br>Residues in additional allowed regions 2, (0.9%)<br>Residues in generously allowed regions 2, (0.9%)<br>Residues in disallowed regions 1, (0.5%)<br>  |
|                  | Transth  | avretin-like protein 3 precursor   |
| MODELLED PROTEIN | RAMACHANDRAN PLOT  | QUALITY PARAMETERS   |
|                  | Ramachandran Plot<br>Transthyretin<br>45<br>45<br>45<br>45<br>45<br>45<br>45<br>45<br>45<br>45<br>45<br>45<br>45 | Residues in most favored regions 123, (92.0%)<br>Residues in additional allowed regions 7, (5.3%)<br>Residues in generously allowed regions 3, (2.3%)<br>Residues in disallowed regions 0, (0.0%)<br><br>Number of non-glycine and non-proline residues 133, (100.0%)<br>Number of end-residues (excl. Gly and Pro) 2<br>Number of glycine residues (shown as triangles) 14<br>Number of proline residues 9<br><br>Total number of residues 158<br>Verify_3D: 96.58% of the residues had an averaged 3D-1D score > 0.2<br>ERRAT: Overall quality factor 89.720 |

Ligand Structure: The 3D structures of compounds were developed by ACD/ChemSketch Version 10.0 for Microsoft Windows using canonical smiles of the compounds collected from PubChem (http://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). Energy minimization and molecular optimization of the compounds were done using Arguslab 4.0.1. Geometry optimization was carried out using AM1 (Austin Model 1), semi-empirical quantum mechanics force field in Arguslab4.0.1. The best conformer exhibiting lowest energy thus obtained based on energy minimization and geometry optimization were saved in \*.pdb format for input into docking environment.

PASS prediction: The compounds of phenylpropanoid metabolic pathway from black pepper have been screened for activities such as antihelminthic (nematicidal) activity PASS (Predicted of in Activity Spectrum Small Molecules) server (http://www.pharmaexpert.ru/passonline/). PASS predicts over 4000 kinds of biological activity, including pharmacological effects, mechanisms of action, toxic and adverse effects, interaction with metabolic enzymes and transporters, influence on gene expression, etc., on the basis of structural formula of a substance. PASS prediction gives out a list of activities with appropriate Pa and Pi, sorted in descending order of difference (Pa-Pi)>0. Pa (probability "to be active") estimates the chance that the studied compound is belonging to the sub-class of active compounds, Pi (probability "to *be inactive"*) estimates the chance that the studied compound is belonging to the subclass of inactive compounds based on resemblance with structures of the molecules, which are the most typical in a sub-set of "active and inactive" in PASS training set. For each type of activity of the biological activity spectrum; Pa-Pi values vary from 0.000 to 1.000. As per PASS prediction of biological activity, if the value of Pa>0.5 in a scale of 0 to 1 unit, the compound is expected to reveal the activity in experiments. If Pa>0.7, the compound is very likely to exhibit the activity in wet lab experiments. The compounds which showed selected activity in PASS screening have been taken as ligands for the studies.

PASS biological activity prediction for compounds in phenyl propanoid pathway revealed that 18 compounds out of 26 phytochemicals possess antihelminthic (nematicidal) activity based on predicted Pa and Pi values. PASS screening results for 18 compounds with predicted Pa; Pi values for antihelmintic property are shown in Table 5.

| Compound              | Predicted Activity:<br>Antihelmintic |       |  |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|-------|--|
|                       | Pa                                   | Pi    |  |
| syringaldehyde        | 0.993                                | 0.004 |  |
| syringin              | 0.984                                | 0.054 |  |
| salicylic acid        | 0.965                                | 0.016 |  |
| ferulic acid          | 0.954                                | 0.024 |  |
| catechol              | 0.932                                | 0.002 |  |
| coniferin             | 0.897                                | 0.017 |  |
| spermidine            | 0.865                                | 0.004 |  |
| scopolin              | 0.859                                | 0.023 |  |
| caffeoylquinic acid   | 0.849                                | 0.143 |  |
| coumaric acid         | 0.832                                | 0.003 |  |
| caffeic acid          | 0.768                                | 0.004 |  |
| lantanilic acid       | 0.763                                | 0.012 |  |
| N- vanillylnonanamide | 0.749                                | 0.067 |  |
| camaric acid          | 0.733                                | 0.005 |  |
| cinnamic acid         | 0.706                                | 0.009 |  |
| synapic acid          | 0.578                                | 0.003 |  |
| synapaldehyde         | 0.528                                | 0.009 |  |
| oleanolic acid        | 0.459                                | 0.013 |  |

**Table 5.** Pa and Pi values for antihelmintic property in eighteen phytochemicals predictedthrough PASS bioactivity screening

Molecular docking studies: To further refine and validate the screened compounds, docking study was performed to identify interacting residues with the ligands, and to assess binding efficiency. Molecular docking study was carried out by using Molegro Virtual Docker. MVD performs flexible ligand docking, so the optimal geometry of the ligand is determined during the docking. MVD includes MolDock Score and PLANTS Score for evaluating docking solutions. MVD returns multiple poses representing different potential binding modes. Clustering has been used to reduce the number of poses obtained during docking run and the most promising ones were taken. Molecules were prepared for docking; for which bonds, bond orders, explicit hydrogen, charges, flexible torsions, were assigned by the MVD program for both the protein and ligands. The intact protein structure was loaded on to MVD platform for docking process. Ignore distant atoms option is used to ignore atoms far away from the binding site. The search algorithm is taken as Moldock SE and numbers of runs are taken 10 and max iterations were 2000 with population size 50 and with an energy threshold of 100 also at each step least 'min' torsions/translations/rotations are tested and the one giving lowest energy is chosen. Pose clustering was done by tabu based clustering method, using this clustering technique each found solution is added to a 'tabu list': during the docking simulation the poses are compared to the ligands in this 'tabu list'. The Rerank Score uses a weighted combination of the terms used by the MolDock score mixed with a few addition terms (the Rerank Score includes the Steric (by LJ12-6) terms which are Lennard-Jones approximations to the steric energy – the MolDock score uses a piecewise linear potential to approximate the steric energy). The reranking score function is computationally more expensive than the scoring function used during the docking simulation but it is generally better than the docking score function at determining the best pose among several poses originating from the same ligand. MolDock showed better overall performance in docking simulations when compared with other software.

Docking results for the ligands with each target are shown in Table 6. It is observed that all 18 compounds docked satisfactorily to the potential targets with good (least) docking scores and phytochemicals with least MolDock Score, Rerank Score and greater number of hydrogen bond interactions were selected as promising lead compounds. Out of 144 docked complexes generated, potential hits were selected by MolDock Score, Rerank Score and greater number of hydrogen bond interactions with binding site residues.



Fig. 1. The docking poses and interactions of the best docked syringaldehyde- transthyretin complex

The top hit ligand (syringaldehyde) targeted transthyretin like protein and established three hydrogen bonds with LEU49, CYS51, ASP58 which provide stability to the complex (Fig. 1). From docking results it is evident that the compounds are interacting highly with three targets calreticulin1, GST and transthyretin like protein. Further observed best binding energy scores of the complex were, MolDock score: -183.38, Rerank Score: -103.39 and Ligand efficiency: -6.78, which suggested an energetically favorable interaction of syringaldehyde with transthyretin like protein. The residues involved in hydrogen bonding of docked complexes involved the binding site residues predicted by CASTp server.

| β-1, 4, endoglucanase           |                    |                  |                      |                 |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Ligand                          | MolDock<br>Score   | Rerank<br>Score  | Ligand<br>efficiency | No. of<br>Hbond | Binding Residues   |  |  |  |
| syringaldehyde                  | -156.23            | -136.58          | -6.84                | 9               | SER36, SER36, GLY37, THR38, LYS39, LEU40, THR46, ALA47,<br>VAL48   |  |  |  |
| salicylic acid                  | -149.54            | -127.34          | 0.73                 | 4               | Gly37, Ser36, Asn235, Gly237<br>SER36, SER36, GLY37, THR38, LYS39, LEU40, THR46, ALA47,  |  |  |  |
| syringin                        | -131.64            | -112.32          | -5.47                | 9               | VAL48  |  |  |  |
| scopolin<br>caffeoylquinic acid | -124.28<br>-123.99 | -89.09<br>-80.16 | -3.56<br>-3.24       | 6<br>11         | THR26, TYR30, SER36, GLY37, THR38, PRO457<br>GLY37, SER36, GLY37, THR38, LYS39, LEU40, THR46, ALA47,<br>VAL48, HIS51, ASN78              |  |  |  |
| ferulic acid                    | -123.38            | -99.22           | -4.94                | 8               | Gly37, Ser36, Asn235, Gly237, Val234, THR38, LYS39, LEU40  |  |  |  |
| catechol<br>coniferin           | -118.46<br>-115.18 | -97.25<br>-89.65 | -4.78<br>-3.73       | 8<br>10         | Gly37, Ser36, Asn235, Gly237, Val234, THR38, LYS39, LEU40<br>Lys39,Thr38, Ala47, Met449, Gly37, Ser36, Asn235, Gly237, Val234,<br>Val234 |  |  |  |
| camaric acid                    | -115.03            | -57.13           | -1.39                | 5               | Gly37, Ser36, Asn235, Gly237, Val234   |  |  |  |
| N- vanillylnonanamide           | -113.44            | -82.53           | -3.93                | 5               | GLY37, ASN78, MET212, VAL234, GLY237   |  |  |  |
| lantanilic acid                 | -110.63            | 92.71            | -4.58                | 5               | Gly37, Ser36, Asn235, Gly237, Val234   |  |  |  |
| coumaric acid                   | -99.91             | -67.66           | -5.63                | 5               | Gly37, Ser36, Asn235, Gly237, Val234   |  |  |  |
| caffeic acid                    | -98.68             | -56.85           | -4.37                | 8               | SER36, GLY37, THR38, LYS39, LEU40, THR46, ALA47, VAL48   |  |  |  |
| cinnamic acid                   | -94.23             | -65.01           | -5.91                | 3               | Gly37, Ser36, Asn235,  |  |  |  |
| synapaldehyde                   | -93.53             | -69.92           | -4.65                | 6               | THR26, TYR30, SER36, GLY37, THR38, PRO457  |  |  |  |
| synapic acid                    | -92.96             | -65.01           | -4.06                | 6               | THR26, TYR30, SER36, GLY37, THR38, PRO457  |  |  |  |
| spermidine                      | -80.54             | -64.07           | -6.44                | 3               | SER36, GLY37, THR38  |  |  |  |
| oleanolic acid                  | -77.04             | -65.36           | -0.04                | 1               | GLY37  |  |  |  |
|                                 |                    |                  | C                    | Calreticulin    | -1   |  |  |  |
| Ligand                          | MolDock<br>Score   | Rerank<br>Score  | Ligand<br>efficiency | No. of<br>Hbond | Binding Residues   |  |  |  |

**Table 6.** Binding energy scores and binding residues of phenylpropanoids docked with novel targets of *R. similis*

|                       |         |         |                |          | -   |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|----------------|----------|---|
| salicylic acid        | -159.64 | -113.15 | -2.31          | 3        | GLU390, ASP391, ASP391  |
| syringaldenyde        | -158.56 | -112.96 | -5.74          | 13       | ASP389, GLU390, ASP391, GLU392, ASP393, GLU394, GLU396,<br>GLU397, GLU398, LYS399, ASP389, GLU390, ASP391 |
| ferulic acid          | -147 79 | -96.83  | -5 48          | 4        | GLU378 GLU380 ASP391 GLU392   |
| caffeoylquinic acid   | -144.01 | -103.36 | -4.13          | 12       | ASP389, ASP389, GLU390, ASP391, ASP391, GLU392, ASP393,   |
|                       |         |         |                |          | GLU394, GLU396, GLU397, GLU398, LYS399  |
| syringin              | -138.13 | -107.33 | -6.43          | 12       | ASP389, ASP389, GLU390, ASP391, ASP391, GLU392, ASP393,   |
|                       |         |         |                |          | GLU394, GLU396, GLU397, GLU398, LYS399  |
| N- vanillylnonanamide | -136.24 | -109.41 | -5.21          | 5        | GLU377, GLU378, GLU380, ASP391, GLU392  |
| coniferin             | -135.88 | -103.28 | -4.33          | 13       | ASP389, GLU390, ASP391, GLU392, ASP393, GLU394, GLU396,   |
| catachal              | 125.97  | 09 11   | 2.74           | 6        | GLU397, GLU398, LYS399, ASP389, GLU390, ASP391<br>GLU300, ASP301, ASP301, GLU302, ASP303, GLU304          |
| scopolin              | -132.87 | -90.44  | -2.74<br>-4.16 | 13       | ΔΩΡ389 ΔΩΡ389 GIII390 ΔΩΡ391 GIII392 ΔΩΡ393, GLU394   |
| 30000                 | 102.00  | 104.10  | 4.10           | 10       | GLU396, GLU397, GLU398, LYS399, GLU394, GLU396  |
| coumaric acid         | -128.62 | -98.76  | -5.81          | 5        | GLU377, GLU378, GLU380, ASP391, GLU392  |
| spermidine            | -120.14 | -76.67  | -7.66          | 6        | GLU390, ASP391, ASP391, GLU392, ASP393, GLU394  |
| camaric acid          | -111.93 | -94.03  | -2.58          | 4        | GLU390, ASP391, GLU378, GLU380  |
| lantanilic acid       | -111.57 | -98.33  | -2.87          | 4        | GLU390, ASP391, GLU378, GLU380  |
| synapic acid          | -103.41 | -81.57  | -5.09          | 6        | ASP391, ASP391, GLU392, ASP393, GLU394, GLU396  |
| synapaldehyde         | -103.11 | -77.52  | -5.16          | 4        | GLU392, ASP393, GLU394, GLU396  |
| cinnamic acid         | -95.63  | -86.46  | -6.04          | 2        | ASP391, ASP391  |
| caffeic acid          | -91.53  | -85.38  | -5.79          | 8        | GLU377, GLU378, GLU380, ASP391, GLU392, GLU390, ASP391,   |
|                       |         |         |                |          | GLU378,   |
| oleanolic acid        | -79.65  | -61.94  | 0.05           | 2        | ASP391, GLU392  |
|                       |         |         |                | Xylanase |   |
|                       | MolDock | Rerank  | Ligand         | No. of   |   |
| Ligand                | Score   | Score   | efficiency     | Hbond    | Binding Residues  |
| syringaldehyde        | -165.59 | -133.37 | -6.97          | 16       | ILE214, TYR226, SER227, SER228, GLN230, ASN231, LYS232,   |
|                       |         |         |                |          | GLY233, LYS234, VAL236, ALA265, SER266, TYR267, ASN268,   |
| syringin              | _152.02 | -120.90 | 2 57           | 10       | INKJII, JEKZOO<br>SER228 GIN230 ASN231 IVS232 GIV222 IVS224 VAL226  |
| Symgin                | -105.92 | -120.09 | -3.57          | 10       | ALA265 SER266 TYR267  |
|                       |         |         |                |          |   |

| salicylic acid<br>caffeoylquinic acid | -144.38<br>-143.34 | -86.02<br>-106.36 | -5.65<br>-4.25 | 2<br>16    | SER228, SER28<br>ILE214, TYR226, SER227, SER228, GLN230, ASN231, LYS232,<br>GLY233, LYS234, VAL236, ALA265, SER266, TYR267, ASN268, |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------|---|
|                                       |                    |                   |                |            | THR311, SER266  |
| coniferin                             | -133.39            | -104.91           | -4.37          | 7          | SER228, LYS232, GLY233, LYS234, VAL236, ALA265, SER266  |
| scopolin                              | -131.45            | -101.64           | -4.06          | 7          | SER227, SER228, ASN231, LYS232, GLY233, LYS234, SER266  |
| ferulic acid                          | -130.52            | -104.07           | -5.29          | 6          | SER228, GLN230, ASN231, LYS232, GLY233, LYS234,   |
| catechol                              | -126.54            | -98.07            | -5.67          | 6          | SER228, GLN230, ASN231, LYS232, GLY233, LYS234,   |
| N- vanillylnonanamide                 | -121.07            | -91.27            | -4.34          | 4          | TYR226, SER227, SER228, GLN230  |
| lantanilic acid                       | -109.33            | -96.31            | -0.16          | 4          | ASN231, LYS232, GLY233, LYS234  |
| camaric acid                          | -105.53            | -73.69            | 1.79           | 4          | ASN231, LYS232, GLY233, LYS234  |
| synapic acid                          | -102.91            | -77.91            | -4.86          | 6          | GLN230, ASN231, LYS232, GLY233, LYS234, VAL236  |
| coumaric acid                         | -102.65            | -99.76            | -4.98          | 4          | TYR226, SER227, SER228, GLN230  |
| synapaldehyde<br>caffeic acid         | -97.96<br>-96.98   | -71.35<br>-84.32  | -4.75<br>-4.17 | 6<br>8     | GLN230, ASN231, LYS232, GLY233, LYS234, VAL236<br>SER227, SER228, GLN230, ASN231, LYS232, GLY233, LYS234,<br>SER266                 |
| cinnamic acid                         | -84.03             | -86.68            | -2.42          | 4          | ASN231, LYS232, GLY233, LYS234  |
| spermidine                            | -83.62             | -72.95            | -7.29          | 6          | GLN230, ASN231, LYS232, GLY233, LYS234, VAL236  |
| oleanolic acid                        | -81.25             | -62.65            | -0.08          | 6          | GLN230, ASN231, LYS232, GLY233, LYS234, VAL236  |
|                                       |                    |                   | Cathepsin B-   | ike cystei | ne proteinase   |
|                                       | MolDock            | Rerank            | Ligand         | No. of     |   |
| Ligand                                | Score              | Score             | efficiency     | Hbond      | Binding Residues  |
| syringaldehyde                        | -149.75            | -133.2            | -6.54          | 12         | PRO214, PRO214, LEU213, PRO214, HIS215, THR216, MET280,   |
| syringin                              | -141.75            | -125.93           | -3.38          | 11         | LYS73, LYS73, VAL124, THR127,THR127<br>PRO214, PRO214, LEU213, PRO214, HIS215, THR216, MET280,<br>LYS73, LYS73, VAL124, THR127      |
| salicylic acid                        | -139.18            | -133.01           | -4.15          | 1          | PRO214  |
| caffeoylquinic acid                   | -136.71            | -81.53            | -3.26          | 8          | PRO214, PRO214, LEU213, PRO214, HIS215, THR216, MET280,<br>LYS73,   |
| ferulic acid                          | -136.01            | -106.76           | -4.34          | 2          | PRO214, LYS73   |

| catechol<br>coniferin | -129.01<br>-128.35 | -110.2<br>-88.06 | -4.89<br>-3.66 | 2<br>10 | PRO214, LYS73<br>LYS73, VAL124, THR127, ILE128, LEU213, PRO214, HIS215,<br>THR216, MET280, TRP322 |
|-----------------------|--------------------|------------------|----------------|---------|---|
| scopolin              | -127.03            | -75.32           | -3.08          | 7       | ASN133, SER136, VAL140, ALA143, GLN147, HIS161  |
| N- vanillylnonanamide | -122.32            | -97.91           | -3.71          | 5       | LEU213, PRO214, HIS215, THR216, MET280  |
| caffeic acid          | -112.54            | -92.21           | -4.78          | 6       | PRO214, LEU213, PRO214, HIS215, THR216, MET280  |
| camaric acid          | -107.08            | -94.69           | -0.35          | 3       | PRO214, HIS215, THR216  |
| coumaric acid         | -105.51            | -98.01           | -4.83          | 2       | PRO214, HIS215  |
| synapic acid          | -101.43            | -69.52           | -4.34          | 1       | PRO214  |
| lantanilic acid       | -101.04            | -20.26           | -0.51          | 1       | LYS73   |
| synapaldehyde         | -99.91             | -61.94           | -4.12          | 1       | LYS73   |
| cinnamic acid         | -82.47             | -84.65           | -4.96          | 0       |   |
| spermidine            | -81.43             | -74.89           | -7.48          | 5       | LEU213, PRO214, HIS215, THR216, MET280  |
| oleanolic acid        | -66.76             | -51.99           | -1.03          | 1       | PRO214  |

| Cathepsin S-like cysteine proteinase |                  |                 |                      |              |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| Ligand                               | MolDock<br>Score | Rerank<br>Score | Ligand<br>efficiency | No. of Hbond | Binding Residues                                       |  |  |
| ferulic acid                         | -143.18          | -153.62         | -4.43                | 6            | MET163, PRO226, GLY261, VAL262, MET163, PRO26          |  |  |
| catechol                             | -142.18          | -114.38         | -4.22                | 6            | MET163, PRO226, GLY261, VAL262, MET163, PRO26          |  |  |
| salicylic acid                       | -133.65          | -54.74          | -5.47                | 5            | MET163, PRO226, GLY261, VAL262, MET163                 |  |  |
| N- vanillylnonanamide                | -131.17          | -103.57         | -4.93                | 4            | SER142, LEU143, GLU145, MET163                         |  |  |
| coumaric acid                        | -127.93          | -113.97         | -5.32                | 2            | MET163, PRO226   |  |  |
| syringin                             | -126.54          | -115.24         | -1.73                | 7            | MET163, PRO226, GLY261, VAL262, MET163, VAL262, GLY261 |  |  |
| syringaldehyde                       | -123.73          | -118.24         | -8.73                | 7            | MET163, PRO226, GLY261, VAL262, MET163, VAL262, GLY261 |  |  |
| caffeoylquinic acid                  | -121.07          | -84.89          | -3.39                | 5            | MET163, PRO226, GLY261, VAL262, MET163                 |  |  |
| coniferin                            | -117.08          | -62.17          | -2.59                | 7            | LEU143, GLU145, MET163, PRO226, GLY261, VAL262, MET163 |  |  |
| scopolin                             | -115.88          | -63.44          | -2.53                | 6            | SER142, LEU143, GLU145, MET163, GLU145, SER142         |  |  |
| synapic acid                         | -99.24           | -69.35          | -4.33                | 4            | SER142, LEU143, GLU145, MET163                         |  |  |

| caffeic acid                     | -98.49  | -85.11  | -4.74      | 4            | SER142, LEU143, GLU145, MET163                 |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------------------|---------|---------|------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| synapaldehyde                    | -89.71  | -68.45  | -4.56      | 2            | MET163, PRO226                                 |  |  |  |  |
| cinnamic acid                    | -88.15  | -87.26  | -6.11      | 3            | MET163, PRO226, PRO226                         |  |  |  |  |
| spermidine                       | -83.69  | -73.75  | -7.37      | 3            | MET163, PRO226, PRO226                         |  |  |  |  |
| lantanilic acid                  | -82.46  | -76.14  | -1.67      | 2            | MET163, PRO226                                 |  |  |  |  |
| camaric acid                     | -77.31  | -79.62  | 1.94       | 3            | MET163, PRO226, PRO226                         |  |  |  |  |
| oleanolic acid                   | -33.96  | -9.34   | 0.01       | 0            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cvtochrome c oxidase subunit III |         |         |            |              |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                  | MolDock | Rerank  | Ligand     |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ligand                           | Score   | Score   | efficiency | No. of Hbond | Binding Residues                               |  |  |  |  |
| syringin                         | -166.61 | -159.68 | -3.44      | 0            |  |  |  |  |  |
| syringaldehyde                   | -152.61 | -123.68 | -2.64      | 0            |  |  |  |  |  |
| salicylic acid                   | -144.45 | -60.79  | -6.07      | 1            | SER230   |  |  |  |  |
| ferulic acid                     | -142.65 | -136.53 | -4.75      | 1            | SER230   |  |  |  |  |
| caffeoylquinic acid              | -140.47 | -103.03 | -4.12      | 3            | PHE240, VAL241, ASP242                         |  |  |  |  |
| catechol                         | -129.92 | -117.39 | -2.45      | 0            |  |  |  |  |  |
| coumaric acid                    | -122.12 | -76.94  | -5.57      | 1            | PHE240   |  |  |  |  |
| N- vanillylnonanamide            | -120.19 | -112.82 | -3.94      | 3            | SER230, TYR231, GLU232                         |  |  |  |  |
| coniferin                        | -117.85 | -72.54  | -3.02      | 2            | LEU229, SER230                                 |  |  |  |  |
| camaric acid                     | -114.44 | -86.47  | -2.11      | 2            | LEU248, TYR249                                 |  |  |  |  |
| scopolin                         | -111.27 | -68.97  | -2.75      | 6            | LEU229, SER230, TYR231, GLU232, PHE233, SER234 |  |  |  |  |
| caffeic acid                     | -108.56 | -97.84  | -5.21      | 1            | SER230   |  |  |  |  |
| lantanilic acid                  | -101.63 | -83.49  | -2.14      | 0            |  |  |  |  |  |
| synapic acid                     | -100.63 | -72.58  | -4.53      | 0            |  |  |  |  |  |
| cinnamic acid                    | -80.66  | -89.75  | -5.43      | 0            |  |  |  |  |  |
| synapaldehyde                    | -79.53  | -67.32  | -4.48      | 3            | SER230, TYR231, GLU232                         |  |  |  |  |
| spermidine                       | -74.05  | -52.09  | -5.24      | 2            | LEU248, TYR249                                 |  |  |  |  |
| oleanolic acid                   | -71.96  | -48.95  | -1.48      | 0            |  |  |  |  |  |

| Glutathione S-transferase        |                    |                    |                      |                   |   |  |  |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---|--|--|
| Ligand                           | MolDock<br>Score   | Rerank<br>Score    | Ligand<br>efficiency | No. of Hbond      | Binding Residues  |  |  |
| syringin                         | -167.27            | -150.45            | -3.45                | 11                | TYR176, TYR176,GLY19, GLY19, GLY18, PHE35, GLU36, ASP37, PHE35, GLU36, ASP37  |  |  |
| salicylic acid<br>syringaldehyde | -155.63<br>-151.38 | -148.07<br>-145.47 | -4.68<br>-3.63       | 4<br>11           | GLY18, GLY19, PHE35, GLU36<br>TYR176, TYR176,GLY19, GLY19, GLY18, PHE35, GLU36, ASP37,<br>PHE35, GLU36, ASP37               |  |  |
| ferulic acid                     | -150.48            | -114.25            | -5.63                | 5                 | ASP16, GLY18, GLY19, PHE35, GLU36   |  |  |
| caffeoylquinic acid              | -140.29            | -99.34             | -3.97                | 7                 | TYR176,GLY19, GLY19, GLY18, PHE35, GLU36, ASP37   |  |  |
| lantanilic acid                  | -135.69            | -93.02             | -2.38                | 5                 | GLY19, ARG25, PHE35, TYR176, TYR208   |  |  |
| catechol                         | -135.17            | -99.29             | -2.07                | 4                 | TYR176,GLY19, GLY19, GLY18  |  |  |
| camaric acid                     | -134.99            | -92.78             | -2.26                | 4                 | GLY19, GLY18, PHE35, GLU36  |  |  |
| caffeic acid<br>scopolin         | -132.06<br>-124.96 | -97.62<br>-97.29   | -5.26<br>-3.89       | 5<br>12           | ASP16, GLY18, GLY19, PHE35, GLU36<br>ASP16, GLY18, GLY19, ARG25, PHE35, GLU36, ASP37, TYR38,<br>ARG39, LYS41, ASP46, TYR176 |  |  |
| coumaric acid                    | -124.04            | -83.15             | -5.26                | 5                 | ASP16, GLY18, GLY19, PHE35, GLU36   |  |  |
| coniferin                        | -117.77            | -88.35             | -3.68                | 7                 | TYR176,GLY19, GLY19, GLY18, PHE35, GLU36, ASP37   |  |  |
| N- vanillylnonanamide            | -115.45            | -93.67             | -4.46                | 3                 | TYR176, PRO211, ALA212  |  |  |
| synapic acid                     | -112.32            | -92.64             | -4.53                | 5                 | ASP16, GLY18, GLY19, PHE35, GLU36   |  |  |
| cinnamic acid                    | -98.43             | -66.99             | -5.18                | 2                 | GLY19, GLY19  |  |  |
| oleanolic acid                   | -94.51             | -65.01             | -1.97                | 5                 | GLY19, GLY18, PHE35, GLU36, ASP37   |  |  |
| synapaldehyde                    | -93.84             | -65.87             | -4.39                | 3                 | ASP37, TYR38, ARG39   |  |  |
| spermidine                       | -90.15             | -63.01             | -6.63                | 3                 | TYR38, ARG39, LYS41   |  |  |
|                                  |                    |                    | Transth              | yretin-like prote | in 3 precursor  |  |  |
| Ligand                           | MolDock<br>Score   | Rerank<br>Score    | Ligand<br>efficiency | No. of Hbond      | Binding Residues  |  |  |
| syringaldehyde                   | -188.38            | -137.39            | -6.78                | 3                 | LEU49, CYS51, ASP58   |  |  |
| ferulic acid                     | -183.63            | -103.81            | -5.62                | 6                 | TYR138, ALA140, CYS51, VAL61, PHE87, LEU89  |  |  |

| syringin              | -178.48 | -123.74 | -6.71 | 11 | LEU49, CYS51, ASP58, VAL61, PHE149, GLY151, ILE119, ILE121, ILE123, TYR138, ALA140 |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|-------|----|--|
| catechol              | -135.63 | -128.81 | -5.23 | 4  | GLY147, LYS148, PHE149, GLY151   |
| N- vanillylnonanamide | -126.49 | -118.43 | -3.73 | 4  | GLY147, LYS148, PHE149, GLY151   |
| coumaric acid         | -120.97 | -84.27  | -4.52 | 3  | ASP58, ALA140, CYS51   |
| caffeic acid          | -112.46 | -97.52  | -2.11 | 8  | GLU46, GLY47, LEU49, CYS51, ASP58, VAL61, PHE87, LEU89                             |
| caffeoylquinic acid   | -109.88 | -64.85  | -2.59 | 7  | GLU46, GLY47, LEU49, CYS51, ASP58, VAL61, CYS51, LEU89                             |
| scopolin              | -105.47 | -131.43 | -1.25 | 9  | VAL45, GLU46, GLY47, LEU49, CYS51, CYS51, ASP58, VAL61,<br>PHE87                   |
| salicylic acid        | -103.79 | -68.05  | -4.43 | 2  | CYS51, CYS51   |
| coniferin             | -100.37 | -93.42  | -2.22 | 7  | VAL45, GLU46, GLY47, LEU49, CYS51, CYS51, ASP58                                    |
| camaric acid          | -99.79  | -69.42  | -1.44 | 5  | CYS51, ASP58, TYR138, ALA140, VAL143   |
| lantanilic acid       | -92.82  | -83.26  | -1.08 | 3  | CYS51, ASP58, VAL61  |
| synapaldehyde         | -90.93  | -67.99  | -4.53 | 6  | ASP58, ALA140, CYS51, CYS51, ASP58, ALA140   |
| synapic acid          | -88.55  | -55.69  | -3.49 | 2  | CYS51, ASP58   |
| spermidine            | -85.56  | -65.12  | -6.51 | 5  | ASP58, ALA140, CYS51, ASP58, ALA140  |
| cinnamic acid         | -85.34  | -77.53  | -4.13 | 0  |  |
| oleanolic acid        | -72.53  | -62.78  | -1.72 | 3  | TYR138, ASP58, VAL143  |

For further refining the study, a comparative docking study was also performed with a currently used nematicide - carbofuran, PubChem CID 2566 to assess the efficiency of phenylpropanoids over synthetic inhibitor. Carbofuran also interacted highly with the three targets calreticulin1, GST and transthyretin like protein which had high interaction with phenylpropanoids. Phenylpropanoids which have least or similar binding energy scores, ligand efficiency and hydrogen bond interactions were confirmed with docking study. Binding energy scores and binding residues of nematode inhibitor - carbofuran are displayed in Table 7. The study revealed that most of the phenylpropanoids have least doc score than carbofuran (-130.59) and more number of hydrogen bonds. Phytochemicals with least docking score than carbofuran are potential inhibitors of corresponding targets. Based on these, 13 compounds viz. syringaldehyde, ferulic acid, syringin, salicylic acid, caffeoylquinic acid, N- vanillylnonanamide, coniferin, catechol, lantanilic acid, camaric acid, scopolin, caffeic acid and coumaric acid were identified as lead compounds for inhibiting R. similis.

| Table 7. Binding energy scores and binding residues of ner | matode inhibitor- carbofuran with <i>R</i> . |
|--|--|
| similis targets  |  |

| Protein                                 | MolDock<br>Score | Rerank<br>Score | Ligand<br>efficiency | No.<br>of H<br>bond | Binding Residues              |
|---|------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| Transthyretin-like protein 3 precursor  | -130.59          | -129.45         | -6.02                | 5                   | CYS51, CYS51, ASP58           |
| Glutathione S-<br>transferase           | -118.19          | -70.64          | -4.55                | 4                   | TYR176,GLY19,<br>GLY19, GLY18 |
| Calreticulin 1                          | -112.07          | -85.04          | -4.31                | 2                   | GLU392, ASP391                |
| Xylanase                                | -110.69          | -68.57          | -4.25                | 3                   | LYS232, SER228,<br>SER266     |
| Cytochrome c<br>oxidase subunit III     | -103.58          | -70.64          | -3.98                | 1                   | SER230                        |
| β-1, 4,<br>endoglucanase                | -97.26           | -6.23           | -3.74                | 1                   | GLY37                         |
| Cathepsin B-like<br>cysteine proteinase | -94.06           | -30.81          | -3.61                | 2                   | PRO214, LYS73,                |
| Cathepsin S-like<br>cysteine proteinase | -93.88           | -6.72           | -3.61                | 1                   | MET163                        |

#### 5. In vitro bioassay of selected compounds for nematode inhibition

Nematode inhibition by five phenolic compounds viz. cinnamic acid, coumaric acid, ferulic acid, caffeic acid and N-vanillylnonanamide (NVA) (purchased from Sigma-Aldrich) at four different concentrations were evaluated in an in vitro bioassay. The compounds were dissolved in aqueous DMSO (1%) or distilled water and stock solutions (10 mg ml–1) were prepared and stored at -20°C after filter sterilization. Compounds were tested for nematode mortality in four concentrations (200, 100, 50, 20 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>) with three repetitions per concentration in a 96-well plate. Controls were 1% DMSO and distilled water. A sample of

20-25 nematodes, consisting of adults and juveniles of *R. similis*, was taken in 50  $\mu$ l of water in each well, prior to the addition of the compound. Plates were then incubated for three days and the numbers of alive/dead nematodes were counted at every 24 h under a stereo zoom microscope. Finally, percentage of nematode mortality were calculated. Among the eight compounds, the maximum mortality was observed with the highest concentrations of syringaldehyde (100%), salicylic acid (90), ferulic acid (71%), catechol (71%), coumaric acid (65%), caffeic acid (48%), tannic acid (30%), N-vanillylnonanamide (28%) and cinnamic acid (14%). Mortality of *R. similis* exposed to phenylpropanoids is shown in Table 8 and Fig. 2.

 Table 8. Mortality (after 72 h) of Radopholus similis exposed to different concentrations of phenyl propanoids.

| Compound             | 20*                                     | 50          | 100         | 200         |
|----------------------|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Cinnamic acid        | 5.7 (13.6)                              | 6.6 (14.9)  | 9.0 (17.4)  | 14.3 (22.2) |
| Coumaric acid        | 9.6 (18.1)                              | 12.9 (21.1) | 38.0 (38.0) | 65.0 (53.7) |
| Ferulic acid         | 9.5 (18.0)                              | 19.0 (25.8) | 37.6 (37.8) | 70.9 (57.4) |
| Caffeic acid         | 17.7 (24.8)                             | 22.3 (28.2) | 30.7 (33.6) | 48.0 (43.8) |
| N-vanillylnonanamide | 9.3 (17.8)                              | 11.3 (19.6) | 19.3 (26.1) | 27.6 (31.7) |
| CD (5%)              | Across columns – 1.8; Across rows – 1.6 |             |             |             |
| CV%                  | 7.88                                    |             |             |             |

Figures in parentheses are arc sine transformed values; \* µg ml<sup>1</sup>



Fig. 2. Mortality (after 72 hours) of Radopholus similis exposed to selected phenylpropanoids

## 6. In planta bioassay of selected compounds for nematode inhibition

A greenhouse trial has been laid out with the most promising compound, ferulic acid, using black pepper *R. similis* infested rooted cuttings. Ferulic acid @ 250 and 500 ppm significantly reduced *R. similis* population in black pepper rooted cuttings (Table 9). Besides, the mortality of the nematode infested pepper plants was significantly reduced from 70% in non-treated

plants to 20% in ferulic acid treated plants.

In planta assays with salicylic acid drenching or spraying showed no effect on the R. similis population, even though a progressive reduction in condensed tannins and increase in total phenols was noticed. The experiment will be repeated for confirmation of results.

**Table 9.** Effect of ferulic acid on Radopholus similis and revival of nematode infested blackpepper plants.

| Concentration of ferulic acid (in ppm) | Log Nema/g | Height (cm) | Plant mortality (%) |
|--|------------|-------------|---------------------|
| 0                                      | 3.019      | 13.77       | 70                  |
| 250                                    | 2.95       | 14.52       | 20                  |
| 500                                    | 2.194      | 23.12       | 20                  |

### 7. Localization of phenyl propanoids in black pepper roots

Phenolic cells and lignified walls were visualized in root sections of both HP39 and Sreekara by applying histochemical staining. Phenyl propanoids were localized in roots through staining with diphenylboric acid 2 aminoethyl ester (DPBA) coupled with epifluorescence microscope equipped with a FITC filter (excitation 450–490 nm, suppression LP 520 nm, blue light). Increased presence of phenyl propanoids was observed in the cortical region of HP 39 roots, consequent to nematode inoculation. Lignin was spotted in root sections through two methods viz. Maule staining and Weisner staining. In general, lignification is significantly high in HP 39 roots compared to Sreekara indirectly supporting the inhibition of nematodes by phenyl propanoids in the lignin pathway (Fig. 3). *R. similis* infection increased lignification of endodermis and vascular bundles of both susceptible and resistant black pepper lines and it was greater in cortical cells of Sreekara. Lignification and preformed phenolic cells were not found to be specific features of black pepper lines resistant to nematodes.



**Fig. 3**: Histochemical changes in black pepper in response to R.similis infection. a-c - HP 39 0, 14 & 21 days and d-f Sreekara 0, 14 & 21 days

#### REFERENCES

- Appel HM 1993. Phenolics in ecological interactions: the importance of oxidation. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 19: 1521-1552.
- Giebel J 1982. Mechanism of resistance to plant nematodes. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 20: 257-279.
- Hahlbrock K, Sutter A, Wellmann E, Ortmann R and Grisebach H 1971. Relationship between organ development and activity of enzymes involved in flavone glycoside biosynthesis in young parsley plants. *Phytochemistry* 10: 109- 116.
- Nicholson RL and Hammerschmidt R 1992. Phenolic compounds and their role in disease resistance. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 30: 369-389.
- Petersen M 2003. Cinnamic acid 4-hydroxylase from cell cultures of the hornwort *Anthoceros agrestis*. Planta 217(1): 96-101.
- Shimada M, Ohashi H and Higuchi T 1970. 0- Methyltransferases involved in the biosynthesis of lignins. Phytochemistry 9: 2463-2470.
- Trudgill DL 1991. Resistance to and tolerance of plant parasitic nematodes in plants. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 29: 167-192.
- Zucker M 1965. Induction of phenylalanine deaminase by light and its relation to chlorogenic acid synthesis in potato tuber tissue. *Plant Physiol.* 40: 779–784